



**CITY COUNCIL AGENDA**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2, AND ARE CLOSED CAPTIONED FOR OUR HEARING IMPAIRED VIEWERS. THE COUNCIL MEETING, AS WELL AS ALL OTHER KCLV PROGRAMMING, CAN BE VIEWED ON THE INTERNET AT [www.kclv.tv](http://www.kclv.tv). THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 AND THE WEB THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM, AND ALSO ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 1:00 PM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND MARY BREDLAU, PALM MORTUARY
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

**MINUTES:**

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN (excused during the p.m. session) and COUNCIL MEMBERS REESE, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, MACK, and MONCRIEF

EXCUSED: COUNCILMAN BROWN

Also Present: CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY, DEPUTY CITY MANAGER BETSY FRETWELL, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE – Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Las Vegas Library, 833 Las Vegas Boulevard North  
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road  
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway  
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall  
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:08 – 9:09)

**1-1**

REVEREND MARY BREDLAU, Palm Mortuary, gave the invocation.

(9:09 – 9:10)

**1-23**

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:10 – 9:11)

**1-51**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF THE EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required. A presentation was made.

**MINUTES:**

LARRY HAUGSNESS, Director of Field Operations, and IKE FITTS, Supervisor of Transportation Services, joined MAYOR GOODMAN in honoring KENNETH E. BOARDMAN, Acting Senior Mechanic, as July's Employee of the Month. MR. BOARDMAN'S ingenuity led to the conversion of two parking enforcement scooters to run on Volkswagen Beetle ignitions. This allowed the City to keep the older scooters in service two years past their replacement dates, creating a cost deferment of approximately \$21,360. MR. BOARDMAN has also used his welding and fabrication skills to modify four trucks, which could have easily cost \$1,500 for each truck. His exceptional job performance saved the City time and money. He has also been a mentor for the Las Vegas High School Private Area Lighting Program since 1986 and is now mentoring students from Valley High School.

MR. HAUGSNESS said that MR. BOARDMAN is an everyday hero in the Department of Field Operations. He comes to work, does his job, and makes the City a much better place to live in.

MR. BOARDMAN expressed his sincere appreciation for this recognition.

(9:11 – 9:14)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF THE SENIOR OF THE QUARTER

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required. A presentation was made.

**MINUTES:**

MEL HENKIN, Chair, Senior Citizens Advisory Board, announced that SUZANNE FAIN, Volunteer Coordinator, University Medical Center (UMC), nominated JOSEPH MALOY Senior of the Quarter. MR. MALOY has been volunteering 30 hours a week as an Ambassador of Courtesy at UMC for the past three years and has never missed a day. He cares so much for the patients that on one occasion he organized a neighborhood book drive to be able to offer more books to the patients.

MR. MALOY was honored to be a volunteer at UMC, which he believes to be the greatest hospital.

MS. FAIN thanked MR. MALOY for his work ethic and dedication to the hospital. She encouraged people to volunteer at the hospital.

(9:14 – 9:17)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF FLASH FLOOD AWARENESS MONTH

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted at the meeting: copy of Proclamation proclaiming July 2003 as Flash Flood Awareness Month

**MOTION:**

None required. A presentation was made.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that soon after he first took office, he was called upon to declare an emergency in the City of Las Vegas as a result of a one-hundred-year flash flood. It is critical to realize that flooding can occur even in the desert.

COUNCILMAN MACK called up RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, and BETTY HOLLISTER, Clark County Regional Flood Control District. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that while Southern Nevada has not experienced a significant downpour since July 1999, it will rain; therefore, the City of Las Vegas works with the Regional Flood Control District and other entities on the construction of flood channels, detention basins, and other flood control projects to minimize the impact of flash floods in the Valley. It is very important that residents be made aware that weather conditions can change in a matter of minutes.

MR. GOECKE said that his staff truly appreciates the cooperation of the Regional Flood Control District.

MS. HOLLISTER accepted the proclamation proclaiming July as Flash Flood Awareness Month. She thanked the Council for getting the message out about flash flood season. She reminded everybody not to drive through flooded areas.

(9:17 – 9:21)

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Ceremonial – Continued

## **MINUTES:**

CHARLIE KAJKOWSKI, City Engineer, referred to pictures on the monitor depicting the Tropical Parkway overpass, which has a very nice Southwest design. This was done mainly because of the efforts of COUNCILMAN MACK as a member of the Nevada Department of Transportation Enhancement Steering Committee and the Regional Transportation Commission. At the ribbon-cutting ceremony on July 1, 2003, a surfboard, which he displayed, was made available for attendees to autograph and express their best wishes. MR. KAJKOWSKI turned over the surfboard to COUNCILMAN MACK as a remembrance of a job well done.

COUNCILMAN MACK explained that the surfboard went with the theme of the opening. The artwork is intended for all the other bridges in the Centennial Hills area.

(9:21 – 9:24)

**1-405**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

**PRESENTATION OF A UNIT CITATION AWARD**

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**MOTION:**

**None required. A presentation was made.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN introduced DAVID WASHINGTON, CHIEF, and JEFF MORGAN, Deputy CHIEF, of Las Vegas Fire and Rescue Department, who made the presentation recognizing brave firefighters throughout the Valley for saving the lives of Las Vegas residents.

CHIEF WASHINGTON indicated that on 3/31/2003 the 9-1-1 Operator received several calls at 6:30 a.m. about a house fire at Luning Way. When the first fire engine arrived, there were heavy flames and thick smoke on one side and people trying to pull security bars off from the windows on the other side of the house. After the bars were pulled off from one window, a woman that was pulled out informed the firefighters of three other people still inside the house. Two of those people were pulled out immediately, and then the other remaining person, who did not survive due to injuries. The teamwork at this incident between the fire companies was extraordinary and is a perfect example of the mutual and automatic aide agreement between the local government entities.

DEPUTY CHIEF MORGAN felt proud to recognize the following units from City of Las Vegas Fire and Rescue and North Las Vegas Fire Department: Las Vegas Engine Company 1, 3, and 203; North Las Vegas Engine Company 53; Las Vegas Truck 3; Las Vegas Rescue 3, 53, and 301; Heavy Rescue 44; Air Resource 1; EMS 1; Fire Investigations; Training Units, Battalion 1; BRENDA DONAHO, Critical Stress Management Officer; JAY AZEBO, Special Operations Chief; and BILL WAYLAND, Battalion Chief.

CHIEF WASHINGTON encouraged people that have safety bars on their windows to contact Las Vegas Fire and Rescue to obtain assistance to ensure they are up to code and safe.

(9:24 – 9:33)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF MELANIE ASADOOR, LITE 100 MELANIE IN THE MORNING  
SHOW

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**MOTION:**

None required. A presentation was made.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN said that in these hard economic times, the City is often asked to step and pitch in. But it takes the private sector to assist and do its fair share for the community. In Las Vegas, it is not uncommon for the personalities on the radio and television to become spokespersons for certain organizations in an effort to make this community a better place. One of those very special radio personalities is MS. ASADOR, who has worked with many charities, including the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Race for the Cure, the American Cancer Society, Junior Diabetes Foundation, the Shade Tree Shelter, and a new organization that MS. ASADOOR started, through Opportunity Village, named Melanie's Closet.

MS. ASADOOR accepted the Key to the City. She thanked the Mayor and her listeners for allowing her to be a part of this great community. MAYOR GOODMAN wished MS. ASADOOR a happy birthday.

(9:33 – 9:36)

**1-815**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

RECOGNITION OF CITY VOLUNTEERS FOR THE UNITED WAY CAMPAIGN

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**MOTION:**

**None required. A presentation was made.**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN invited CLAUDETTE ENUS, Director of Human Resources, and DAN GOULET, United Way of Southern Nevada President and CEO, to assist him in recognizing a group of City employees, who have dedicated time and money to better the local community. During the 2003 employee United Way Campaign, the City of Las Vegas raised a total of \$85,019, which made the City one of the Top 20 Employee Accounts for the 2003 campaign year. The City is the only municipality that received this recognition. He made special mention of the following people for coordinating this wonderful campaign in each of their departments: JENNIFER ELLIS of the City Council Office, CHRIS PETERSON of Building and Safety, CISSY GREEN and BETH DiFIORE of the City Attorney's Office, ANGELA CROLLI of the City Clerk's Office, DEBBY HUME and SUSAN LONBORG of the Office of Business Development, MELI ROYBAL of Human Resources, LAVERNE BIAS of Detention and Enforcement, ANN BONK and KITTY BRIGANTI of Finance and Business Services, BRENDA RAGLIN, DEBI FIELDS, MIDGE ENEDICT, LIZ SILVA, DANNY PARTCH, and DONNA BUCKLEY of Field Operations, CHERINA KLEVEN from Fire and Rescue, CYNTHIA TOUSSAINT from Information Technologies, CHRISTIE GARNESS from Leisure Services, SANDY SANTAMARIA and LESLIE ROJAS of Municipal Court, PAT BENEFIELD of Neighborhood Services, TERESA MORRELL from Planning and Development, ROBIN APPELLO, GOGI GREEN, CHERYL PAYCHL, ALICE MERRITT, DANNY COPELAND, DEBRA BROWN, KRISTINA WOOD, GENE DIMITRI, and SUSAN BILODEAU of Public Works.



# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Ceremonial

Recognition of City Volunteers for the United Way Campaign

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

MS. ENUS said that the department coordinators have been the heart and soul of this program, and will be again now that the City Manager has agreed that the City will participate in the United Way Pacesetter Program.

MR. GOULET stated that no campaign is successful without a group of dedicated volunteers. This group generated one of the top 20 employee campaigns in this community last year, and it was done during very tough economic times. In recognition of the City's participation and leadership, he presented MAYOR GOODMAN with a Certificate of Excellence, a Thank You Award, and a copy of the newspaper ad recognizing all the organizations that stood out. Lastly, he gave special thanks to MELI ROYBAL for her leadership in putting together a team of people that support the City's efforts.

(9:36 – 9:43)

**1-915**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS**

**DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN**

☐

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**CEREMONIAL:**

SPECIAL PRESENTATION BY ORGANIZERS OF THE NEWSPAPERS IN EDUCATION LITERACY PROGRAM

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**MOTION:**

**None required. A presentation was made.**

**MINUTES:**

ARNOLD BELL, Manager, Newspapers In Education Program, came forward for this presentation. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that Newspapers In Education (NIE) is a literacy program of the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun newspapers. The City recently collaborated in a literacy event for the program.

MR. BELL thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY and his staff for supporting and hosting the First Annual "A Buzzer Beater for Literacy" Basketball Tournament. The NIE program is designed to fight against literacy, in which newspapers are provided gratis for educators of all levels of schooling to use as an educational tool to fight against literacy. With the support of various sponsors, the NIE program has provided over \$1 million free newspapers for classrooms throughout the Valley. Numerous educational events, such as The Literacy Page, A Pet Calendar, A Diversity Page, and now the basketball tournament of COUNCILMAN WEEKLY have educated the general public about the importance of good reading skills.

The event hosted by COUNCILMAN WEEKLY on June 28, 2003, brought together over 120 students from all over the Valley to participate in "A Buzzer Beater for Literacy" Basketball Tournament. Athletes, coaches, and parents were united in the fight against literacy in the local school system. MR. BELL thanked COUNCILMAN WEEKLY, RICKI BARLOW, Ward 5 Liaison, and his other staff for their support and leadership.

(9:43 – 9:46)

**1-1164**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Ceremonial – Continued

### **MINUTES:**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD was very excited to recognize the Summerlin All Stars Little League Baseball Team, because they won the District 4 Allstar Championship for the first time in Summerlin Little League history. She called up each of the following players, who were presented with a certificate: STEVE CORCORAN, HEATH DRESLER, MATTHEW HUTCHINGS, BRADY McPHERSON, ZACH PORTER, BRYCE WOOD, SAM DONE, CAMERON FRASER, SHANE MACK, DUSTIN MILLER, JARED WEBB, and CHANDLER YAMANE. The coaching staff also came forward: Manager IAN YAMANE and Coaches DENNIS WOOD, DARRYL HUTCHINGS, CHIP WEBB, and SHANE MACK SR. JOHN HAMBRICK, who runs the Summerlin Little League also came forward.

MR. YAMANE accepted the City's trophy and thanked MR. HAMBRICK, the coaches, and the parents for all their efforts. It was a long road to the championship, but they made it.

(9:46 – 9:51)

**1-1264**

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD recognized DESIREE ANATIHAN, who is MISS NEVADA TEEN USA. She would be competing in Miss America Teen Pageant later in the month. She intends to attend Pepperdine University and major in law. Her long-term goal is to be a Supreme Court Justice.

MISS ANATIHAN said that it was an honor to be MISS NEVADA TEEN, and she thanked the Council for this honor. She intends to use her title to expose important issues that mean a lot to her and to serve the City of Las Vegas by volunteering, being a role model, and the best citizen she can possibly be.

(9:51 – 9:54)

**1-1465**

During the afternoon session, MAYOR GOODMAN recognized the Boy Scouts of Troop 375 sitting in the audience. He stated the Council enjoyed having them at the meetings.

(1:47)

**4-1007**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 59 and 64 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN requested that Items 21 and 53 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:54 – 9:56)

**1-1564**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**BUSINESS ITEMS:**

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meetings of May 21, 2003 and June 4, 2003, Special Joint City Council and Redevelopment Agency Meeting – Budget Workshop of April 23, 2003, Special Joint City Council and Redevelopment Agency Budget Meeting of May 20, 2003 and Special City Council Meeting of June 9, 2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(9:56)

**1-1624**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$71,079,404.37

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Accounting Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** All Funds

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 06/16/03 - 06/30/03

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$	13,836,760.16
Total Payroll Checks	\$	5,155,444.32
Total Wire Transfers	\$	52,087,199.89

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

Under Item 1, MAYOR GOODMAN requested that Items 21 and 53 be pulled from the Consent Agenda for discussion.

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a report by the City Treasurer of the June 24, 2003 sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in certain districts

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount: N/A**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: N/A**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: N/A**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 271.565 states that within 15 days after the completion of the sale of all property described in the assessment roll upon which a delinquent assessment or installment is unpaid, the municipal treasurer shall prepare a statement of his actions concerning the sale showing all the property sold by him, to whom sold and the sums paid for each tract. Such report shall be presented to the governing body at its regular meeting next following the preparation of the statement.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Report only, no action required.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Report of Sale Memorandum from Michael K. Olson, City Treasurer, dated July 1, 2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the City of Las Vegas Debt Management Policy as of June 30, 2003

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

NRS 350.13 requires that the City of Las Vegas file an annual debt management policy addressing our ability to afford existing debt, our capacity for future debt, our general obligation debt payable from ad valorem (property) taxes, and various other analyses. In compliance with this statute, the attached Debt Management Policy and Indebtedness Report is provided for your review and approval.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval of the Debt Management Policy.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. City of Las Vegas Debt Management Policy - June 30, 2003
2. City of Las Vegas Indebtedness Reports - June 30, 2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Preschool, Challenger School, 9900 Shiloh School Lane, From: Camille Wright, Licensee/License Holder, To: Lilliane Brumwell, Center/Preschool Director, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Licensee/License Holder for a Child Care Center/Preschool

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes and Health Dept. regulations, Economic Opportunity Board of Clark County, dba Head Start Learning Center - PT, 614 Jefferson Avenue, E. Diana Goff, Administrator, Licensee/License Holder - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Special Event License for Mission of St. Charbel, Location: St. Joseph Husband of Mary Roman Catholic Church, 7260 West Sahara Avenue, Date: July 26, 2003, Type: Special Event General, Event: Fund-raising event for new construction of church, Responsible Person in Charge: Antoine Abi-Nader - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Special Event License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

**MINUTES:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, Mi Kyong Chun, dba Dok Do Restaurant, 1000 East Sahara Avenue, Suite 103, Mi K. Chun, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler On-sale License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, United Brothers Enterprises, Inc., dba Arco AM/PM #82263, 2320 Fremont Street, Bhupinder S. Bhatti, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

**MINUTES:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Franchise Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #22854C, 1468 East Charleston Boulevard, Naveen Dadlani, Franchise Mgr - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Franchise Manager for a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Franchise Manager for a Package License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, 7-Eleven of Nevada, Inc., dba 7-Eleven Food Store #29666B, 5700 West Charleston Boulevard, Haresh P. Advani, Franchise Mgr - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Franchise Manager for a Package License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name and Officer/Stockholder for a Tavern License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, MSA Enterprises, Inc., dba From: Roadrunner Saloon, To: Fred's Terrible's Tavern, 4425 Stewart Avenue, Suite 104, Kurt L. Schoen, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name and Officer/Stockholder for a Tavern License and a Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS**  
with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: D & G Enterprises, dba Shooter's Sports Bar & Cafe, 1617 South Decatur Boulevard (Non-Operational), Gregory S. McConaughy, Ptnr, 50%, Drew P. McConaughy, Ptnr, 50%, To: Higco, Inc., dba Three Angry Wives Pub, 8820 West Charleston Boulevard, Suite 105, Sean T. Higgins, Dir, Pres, 33 1/3%, Kevin J. Higgins, Secy, Treas, 33 1/3%, Gerald M. Higgins, 33 1/3% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern License and a new Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. regulations and confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots, Golden - PT's Pub Stewart-Nellis 2, LLC, dba From: PT's Slot Casino, To: PT's Place, 347 North Nellis Boulevard, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots, Golden - PT's Pub Rancho 4, LLC, dba From: PT's Slot Casino, To: PT's Place, 1631 North Rancho Drive, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 35 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 33 slots, Golden - PT's Pub East Sahara 3, LLC, dba From: PT's Slot Casino, To: PT's Place 532 East Sahara Avenue, Golden - PT's Pub Operating, LLC, Mmbr, 100%, Golden Gaming, Inc., Mmbr, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Dir, Pres, CEO, Rodney S. Atamian, EVP, CFO, Secy, Treas, The Blake L. Sartini and Delise F. Sartini Family Trust, 100%, Blake L. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary, Delise F. Sartini, Trustee, Beneficiary - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Limited Gaming License for 33 slots

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, E-T-T, Inc., db at Liborio Market, 930 North Lamb Boulevard - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Templeton Gaming Corporation, db at Sun City Summerlin Vista Grille, 9201 Del Webb Boulevard - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of a Slot Operator Space Lease Location Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to confirmation of approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for a Hypnotist License subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Liana Snyder, LLC, dba From: Liana Snyder, 7501 West Lake Mead Boulevard, Suite 114, To: Transformational Healing, 2921 North Tenaya Way, #128, Liana G. Snyder, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of Change of Location and Business Name for a Hypnotist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 02.15341.15-CW, Ed Fountain Park and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: RICHARDSON CONSTRUCTION, INC. (\$4,632,809 - Capital Projects Fund) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$4,632,809

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This project includes constructing five lighted soccer fields, a children's play area with shade fabric, two restroom facilities - one with concession and one with storage, basketball courts, walk paths, BMX area, additional parking, landscaping, irrigation and site lighting. The project also includes the installation artificial turf, in lieu of Bermuda sod and irrigation, for the two most northern soccer fields.

PCC: C. White

POC: Louis Richardson - (702) 647-2200

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the award of Bid Number 02.15341.15-CW, Ed Fountain Park to Richardson Construction in the amount of \$4,632,809 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$300,000. Authority to execute contract is given to Purchasing Manager pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Consent – Finance and Business Services

Item 21 – Bid No. 02.15341.15-CW

**MOTION - Continued:**

on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services

THEODORE PARKER, Attorney; Parker, Nelson, and Arin

LOUIS RICHARDSON, owner of Richardson Construction

(9:54 – 9:56/9:56 – 9:59/9:59 – 10:08)

**1-1564/1-1670/1-1740**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of revision number two to purchase order 214613 for Wireless Communication Service and Equipment to Nextel West Corporation - Department of Information Technologies - Award to: NEXTEL WEST CORPORATION (\$425,000 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$425,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Information Technologies☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On June 5, 2002, City Council approved the authorization to use State of Nevada WSCA Master Price Agreement 113-00115 for Wireless Communication Service and Equipment through June 30, 2004 in the amount of \$125,000. Due to increased requirements, this revision is being written to add \$425,000 to the purchase order, for a revised total biennial amount of \$550,000.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to join onto or use the contracts of the State of Nevada.

PCC: G. Leaf

POC: Tye Thompson - (702) 303-3660

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the issuance of revision number two to purchase order 214613 to Nextel West Corporation to add an additional \$425,000, for a total estimated biennial amount of \$550,000, effective through June 30, 2004.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Contract Modification Number One to Bid Number 01.1762.02-RC, 2002-2003 Annual Small Asphalt Patching - Award to: MIKON CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. (\$236,250 - Capital Projects Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$236,250☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Field Operations☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On May 16, 2001, the City Council awarded Bid Number 01.1762.02, Annual Small Asphalt Patching to Mikon Construction Co., Inc. This request is to provide additional funding required to cover maintenance expenses including ADA and RTC requirements of major arterials that were not included in this current fiscal year's work plan. This request will raise the total contract amount to \$1,181,250.

PCC: L. Davis

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve Contract Modification Number One to Bid Number 01.1762.02-RC, 2002/2003 Annual Small Asphalt Patching to Mikon Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$236,250. Authority to execute Modification is given to the Field Operations Director pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:****APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of rejection of bid and award of Bid Number 030332-GL, Charleston Heights Art Center Seating - Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: SIERRA SCHOOL EQUIPMENT COMPANY (\$84,735 - General Fund) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$84,735

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This award is to furnish and install theater seating at the Charleston Heights Art Center. The apparent low bidder - Herk Edwards, Inc. - failed to meet the requirements of the technical specifications of the bid; thus, deeming their bid non-responsive. As such, their bid is recommended for rejection and award recommended to the second low bidder.

PCC: G. Leaf

POC: Patrick McDermott - (661) 399-2993

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the rejection of the low bid and the award of Bid Number 030332-GL, Charleston Heights Art Center Seating to Sierra School Equipment Company in the amount of \$84,735. Authority to execute contract is given to the Purchasing Manager pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Contract No. 030303, Parking Enforcement and Management Software, Training, Support and Maintenance - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: CARDINAL TRACKING, INC. (\$73,800 - Capital Projects Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$73,800☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Information Technologies☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Contract will provide for the purchase of Parking Enforcement and Management software, training, support and maintenance.

This requirement is exempt from the competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(h), software for computers.

PCC: L. Wheeler

POC: Kevin Fisher - (800) 285-3833

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Contract No. 030303, Parking Enforcement and Management Software, Training, Support and Maintenance to Cardinal Tracking, Inc. in the amount of \$73,800. Authority to execute contract is given to the Purchasing Manager pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 030290-JDF, Annual Requirements Contract for data storage supplies - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: ADVANCED DIGITAL SOLUTIONS, INC. (\$58,900 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$58,900

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Information Technologies

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is an annual requirements contract for the purchase of data storage supplies on an "as-needed" basis.

PCC: J. Fiori

POC: Rick Butte - (800) 877-9642, ext. 128

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030290-JDF, annual requirements contract for data storage equipment to Advanced Digital Solutions, Inc. from date of award through June 30, 2004, with four (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated annual amount of \$58,900.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Contract No. 030261, Animal Control and Tracking Software, Training, Support and Maintenance - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: HLP, INC. (\$50,990 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$50,990

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Information Technologies

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This contract will provide for the purchase of animal control and tracking software, training, support and maintenance.

This requirement is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.115.1(h), software for computers.

PCC: L. Wheeler

POC: Diane L. Hoover - (562) 592-9899

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Contract No. 030261, Animal Control and Tracking Software, Training, Support and Maintenance to HLP, Inc. in the amount of \$50,990. Authority to execute contract is given to the Purchasing Manager pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 030346-GL, Annual Requirements Contract for Security Guard Services - Various Departments - Award recommended to: OFFICIAL SECURITY, INC. (Estimated annual amount of \$399,700 - General Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$399,700

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Various

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This is an annual requirements contract for security guard services at various City facilities and locations.

PCC: Geri Leaf

POC: Darryl Cronfeld - (702) 369-4366

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 030346-GL, Annual Requirements Contract for Security Guard Services to Official Security, Inc. from date of award through July 31, 2004, with (4) one-year renewal options in the estimated annual amount of \$399,700.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Contract Modification Number One to Bid Number 01.1762.01-RC, 2002-2003 Annual Concrete Replacement - Award to: MIKON CONSTRUCTION CO., INC. (\$472,500 - Capital Projects Fund) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$472,500

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Field Operations

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On May 16, 2001, the City Council awarded Bid Number 01.1762.01, Annual Concrete Replacement to Mikon Construction Co., Inc. This request is to provide additional funding required to cover maintenance expenses including ADA and RTC requirements of major arterials that were not included in this current fiscal year's work plan. This request will raise the total contract amount to \$2,362,500.

PCC: L.Davis

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That City Council approve Contract Modification Number One to Bid Number 01.1762.01-RC, 2002/2003 Annual Concrete Replacement to Mikon Construction Co., Inc. in the amount of \$472,500. Authority to execute Modification is given to the Field Operations Director pursuant to Resolution R-145-2001.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES**

**DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of City's property damage fire insurance policy on buildings, contents, outside equipment, boiler and machinery for FY2004 with Marsh USA, Inc. (\$236,091.00 - Self-Insurance Liability Trust Fund)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$236,091.00

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Human Resources/Ins. Services

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Self-Insurance Liability Trust Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In order to provide coverage to the City of Las Vegas for fire and other perils for buildings, contents, leased property, outside equipment, boiler/machinery and domestic and foreign terrorism acts for FY2004.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the Fire Insurance Policy be approved.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Proposal

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA P. JACKSON, DPA ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of grant award in the amount of \$2,500 from The Western States Arts Federation (WESTAF) to the City of Las Vegas Cultural Affairs Division for the Bill Miller performance - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$2,500
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Leisure Service/Cultural
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Western States Arts Federation (WESTAF) grant award, given to the City of Las Vegas Cultural Affairs Division, shall be for the Bill Miller performance (American Indian guitarist) and will begin on October 06, 2003 and ending October 11, 2003. This residency and performance is in conjunction with the Youth Arts, At Risk Youth, "Spirit Rides"

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Grant Award Letter
2. TourWest Contract

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)  
**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: LEISURE SERVICES****DIRECTOR:** BARBARA P. JACKSON, DPA ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Family Resource Center Sub-Contract Agreement between Southern Nevada Family Resource Center's Local Governing Board and the City of Las Vegas Department of Leisure Services for operation of the grant funded Family Resource Center at Stupak Community Center (\$872/10% cash match - General Fund) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$872 - 10% cash match
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Leisure Services/Recreation
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> Grant Award/General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Stupak Community Center was awarded by the Family Resource Center Grant effective July 1, 2003, through September 20, 2003. The City has been awarded \$8,724 for the contract period, but must renew the subcontractor agreement with HELP of Southern Nevada. Upon approval of the subcontractor agreement, the City is responsible for providing a required 10% cash match, at \$872 to be earmarked and utilized for Family Resource Center support purposes only. The \$872 cash match is included in the City budget

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends City Council approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Family Resource Center (FRC) Sub-Contract Agreement
2. Attachment A - Neighborhood Action Plan
3. Attachment B - Community Connections Notice of Contract Award

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of donation of surplus beds to the Las Vegas Rescue Mission which is estimated at \$48 scrap value from General Fund - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$48
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Department of Neighborhood Services has been granted forty surplus beds that were previously utilized in the Las Vegas Detention Center. Neighborhood Services wishes to donate these beds to the Las Vegas Rescue Mission. The beds would have been sold as scrap metal by the City at an estimated value of \$48, but will complement the services that the Las Vegas Rescue Mission provides to its clientele.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council approve the donation of the surplus beds to the Las Vegas Rescue Mission.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:** **APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval to file a Right-of-Way Grant with the Bureau of Land Management for roadway, sanitary sewer and drainage purposes on portions of land lying within the East Half of Section 1, Township 19 South, Range 60 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, generally located on the west side of the Decatur Boulevard alignment, between the Gilbert Lane alignment and the Moccasin Road alignment – APN 125-01-001-001, -701-001 – Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS**  
with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LLD.13.A.02 between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Regional Flood Control District to extend the award of bid date for local drainage improvements in Crystal Water Way Between Lake South Drive and Desert Inn Road - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LLD.13.A.02 will extend the award of bid date to June 30, 2003 for local drainage improvements in Crystal Water Way between Lake South Drive and Desert Inn Road. This agreement was approved by the Clark County Regional Flood Control District Board at their June 12, 2003 meeting. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$378,000 or 50% of the construction costs, whichever is less.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LLD.13.A.02

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Interlocal Contract with the Clark County Regional Flood Control District for the Annual Maintenance Work Program (\$1,363,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,363,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CCRFCD**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This Interlocal Contract applies to the maintenance of flood control facilities, which are identified in the District's Master Plan facilities including updates and amendments subsequently approved. The project is more specifically described in Exhibits "A" and "B" which are incorporated within the Interlocal Contract. The Clark County Regional Flood Control District approved this item at their June 12, 2003 meeting. Total funding for this project shall not exceed \$1,363,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Contract

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:****APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)****MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.19.B.01 between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Regional Flood Control District to transfer funding from the City of Las Vegas to Clark County Regional Flood Control District for construction of Owens Avenue System (Rancho Drive to I-15) - (\$3,964,000 - Clark County Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$3,964,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** CCRFCD**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas and Clark County Regional Flood Control District entered into an Entity Advance Funding Agreement dated December 13, 2001 for construction of Owens Avenue System (Rancho Drive to I-15). This Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract transfers the funding from the City of Las Vegas to the Clark County Regional Flood Control District and provides for reimbursement by the Clark County Regional Flood Control District for expenses incurred by the City from April 12, 2001.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Second Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS.19.B.01

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:****APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract #386a between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to revise the scope of the project, reallocate funding and encumber funding for construction and construction engineering for Alexander Road, US-95 to Rancho Drive improvements (\$3,085,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 4 and 6 (Brown and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$3,085,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** RTC**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #386a between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County, and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to change the scope of the project from Alexander Road, Durango Drive to Rancho Drive to Alexander Road, US-95 to Rancho Drive. Funds will be reallocated and funding encumbered for construction engineering and construction. The Regional Transportation Commission approved this contract at their May 15, 2003 Board and Clark County approved on June 3, 2003. Total cost for this project shall not exceed \$3,800,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #386a

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:****APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Consent – Public Works

Item 38 - Approval of Supplemental Interlocal Contract #386a between the City of Las Vegas, Clark County and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to revise the scope of the project, reallocate funding and encumber funding for construction and construction engineering for Alexander Road, US-95 to Rancho Drive improvements (\$3,085,000 - Regional Transportation Commission)

**MINUTES:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of a First Supplemental Interlocal Contract #435a between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada to increase funding for the roadway improvement project at Martin L King Boulevard, Palomino Lane to Carey Avenue (\$325,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Wards 5 and 1 (Weekly and Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$325,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Supplemental Interlocal Contract #435a between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada provides for an increase in funding for engineering design for Martin L King Boulevard, Palomino Lane (north end of Martin L King Boulevard/Industrial Road connector) to Carey Avenue. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$1,100,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

First Supplemental Interlocal Contract #435a

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract #438 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for design and right-of-way funding for the Grand Teton Overpass at US-95 (\$800,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$800,000**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: RTC**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Interlocal Contract #438 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada provides for engineering design and right-of-way funding for Grand Teton Overpass at US-95. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$15,000,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Contract #438

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Interlocal Contract #439 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada for design and right-of-way funding for the Horse Interchange at US-95 (\$1,450,000 - Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada) - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$1,450,000

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** RTC

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Interlocal Contract #439 between the City of Las Vegas and the Regional Transportation Commission of Southern Nevada provides for engineering design and right-of-way funding for Horse Interchange at US-95. Total cost for this contract shall not exceed \$20,000,000.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Contract #439

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from JHR Associates, Limited, on behalf of Brown, Busby, Chrisman and Thomas, Limited, owner (northwest corner of Hoover Avenue and Fourth Street) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of landscaping on the south side of Hoover Avenue between Fourth and Third Streets, landscaping on the west side of Fourth Street and east side of Third Street extending southward from Hoover Avenue for approximately 90' consisting of trees, shrubs, ground cover, and an irrigation system to meet City Downtown Centennial Plan landscaping requirements for a proposed professional office building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (northwest corner of Hoover Avenue and Fourth Street)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Encroachment Request from JHR Associates, Limited, on behalf of JDV Valley View, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Valley View Boulevard and Regulus Avenue) - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed encroachment consists of landscaping at the southeast corner of Valley View Boulevard and Regulus Avenue and an approximate 11' wide area of landscaping on the east side of Valley View Boulevard extending approximately 163' southward from Regulus Avenue consisting of crushed rock ground cover, 24" box trees, a minimum of four 5-gallon shrubs each, and an irrigation system for the proposed JDV Valley View-Johnson Company building. If approved, the applicant will be required to sign an Encroachment Agreement which has conditions of maintenance, liability, and removal.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Copy of Encroachment Exhibit "A" (southeast corner of Valley View Boulevard and Regulus Avenue)

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:****APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Consent – Public Works

Item 43 - Approval of an Encroachment Request from JHR Associates, Limited, on behalf of JDV Valley View, LLC, owner (southeast corner of Valley View Boulevard and Regulus Avenue)

**MINUTES:**

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with the WLB Group for engineering services for the design of the Lone Mountain Trail System from Washington Avenue to Alexander Road (\$471,925 - Bureau of Land Management Land Sales Proceeds) - Wards 2 and 4 (L.B. McDonald and Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount: \$471,925**

☒

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division: Public Works/City Engineer**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source: BLM Land Sales**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas desires to design and construct a trail system that will connect several parks between Washington Avenue and Alexander Road.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Engineering Design Services Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-100-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Awarding Bid for Special Improvement District No. 1480 - Buffalo Drive (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) (\$582,565.43 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$582,565.43

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Installation of pavement, curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveways, streetlights, water laterals, water mains, sewer laterals, and sewer mains.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-100-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-101-2003** - Approval of a Resolution Awarding Bid for Special Improvement District No. 1495 – Buffalo Drive (Cheyenne Avenue to Lone Mountain Road) (\$189,909.84 Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☐

**No Impact**

**Amount:** \$189,909.84

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:** Public Works/SID

☒

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:** Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The construction and installation of pavement, “L” type curb and gutter, sidewalk, driveway approaches, sewer laterals and mains, water laterals and mains, and streetlights.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-101-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-102-2003** - Approval of a resolution directing the City Clerk to notify the Clark County Debt Management Commission (DMC) of a proposal to issue interim warrants for seven Special Improvement District (SID) road projects - Wards 4, 5 and 6 (Brown, Weekly and Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This 2003 Las Vegas SID Interim Warrants DMC Notice Resolution authorizes and directs the City Clerk to notify the Secretary of the Clark County DMC of the City's proposal to issue general obligation interim warrants for the construction of four SID road projects including:

SID 1476 - Town Center Loop Road (NE) - Tenaya to Elkhorn - estimated cost \$3,800,000

SID 1487 - Jones - Beltway to Elkhorn - estimated cost \$1,000,000

SID 1490 - Tenaya - Beltway to Elkhorn - estimated cost \$600,000

SID 1493 - Alexander/Hualapai - Cheyenne to Cimarron - estimated cost \$675,000

SID 1502 - Grand Montecito Parkway - estimated cost \$6,000,000

SID 1503 - Durango - Tropical to Centennial (S-Curve) - estimated cost \$640,900

SID 1504 - Discovery Drive - MLK to Grand Central Pkwy. - estimated cost \$325,000

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends approval.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Resolution No. R-102-2003

Submitted after final agenda: revised Resolution No. R-102-2003

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED** under separate actions (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of a Grant of Easement between the City of Las Vegas and the Clark County Water Reclamation District for a future public wastewater lift station located at the northeast corner of Parcel Number 161-10-701-001, west of the Water Pollution Control Facility - County (near Ward 3 - Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The square footage referenced in the City owned parcel represents a 40' x 40' portion of the 6.57 acres contained within the parcel which is located near the City's Water Pollution Control Facility. The District desires an easement for this area to use the land to promote a future public wastewater lift station to provide for the District's customer needs and to promote the orderly growth of development located west of the Las Vegas Wash.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Grant of Easement
2. Site Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of Memorandum of Understanding #2003-03 between the City of Las Vegas and Lied Community School for modular classroom usage located at 5350 West Tropical Parkway commonly known as Lied Middle School - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of this MOU will allow the City to provide various recreational programs sponsored by the City for the benefit of the children attending Lied Middle School.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

MOU #2003-03

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of Memorandum of Understanding #2003-04 between the City of Las Vegas and Robison Community School for modular classroom usage located at 825 Marion Drive commonly known as Robison Middle School - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Approval of this MOU will allow the City to provide various recreational programs sponsored by the City for the benefit of the children attending Robison Middle School.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

MOU #2003-04

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53: APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of an Interlocal Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and the Southern Nevada Water Authority to construct a shallow monitoring well located at 197 Harrison Avenue commonly known as James Gay Park - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City is the Lessee of land from the State of Nevada, Department of Transportation, in which the Southern Nevada Water Authority wishes to install a shallow monitoring well to replace an old well needed to continue the Southern Nevada Water Authority's efforts of monitoring and gaining a better understanding of the shallow ground water in the Las Vegas Valley.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Interlocal Agreement

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of Memorandum of Understanding #2003-02 between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District regarding site enhancements at Police Memorial Park located near the northwest corner of Cheyenne Avenue and Grand Canyon Drive, APN 138-07-401-009 - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

CLV leases this site from the Bureau of Land Management and previously granted easements to LVVWD for above ground pressure reducing valves. CLV is desirous of providing the public with aesthetic site vistas at this location and LVVWD is in agreement with the proposed enhancements consisting of erecting chain link fencing, wrought iron fencing and a block wall. The land shall be used for the operation of a LVVWD zone reservoir channel fence addition to benefit the general public and will continue to be maintained by CLV.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

MOU #2003-02

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions (see individual items)**

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of an Encroachment Agreement with John T. Moran Jr. and Marilyn Moran for a portion of the alley behind the Morans' property generally located at 628 and 630 South Fourth Street - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City owns the public right-of-way of the alley behind the Morans' property. The City is in the process of a vacation of the entire alley which contains the Morans' property and following completion of such vacation process, the City intends to convey its half of the vacated alley behind the Morans' property to the Morans. In the interim the Morans have requested that they be permitted to construct a block wall and parking lot on said right-of-way.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee held this item in abeyance to 8/4/2003. Staff recommends approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Encroachment Agreement

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED** Encroachment Agreement subject to the amendments that were discussed at this meeting which **CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC** will memorialize with **MR. MORAN – UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development

JOHN T. MORAN JR.

DAVID ROARK, Manager, Real Estate and Asset Management

DAVID WASHINGTON, Chief, Las Vegas Fire and Rescue

(9:54 – 9:56/9:56 – 9:59/10:08 – 10:16)

**1-1564/1-1670/1-2121**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**

**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval of a Right-of-Way Grant to the Frontier Girl Scouts Council for ingress and egress purposes located at 2941 Harris Avenue, APNs 139-25-303-014 and 015 - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A Right-of-Way Grant is necessary for Ingress and Egress Purposes for construction of a private drive located at Harris Avenue, Parcel Numbers 139-25-303-014 and 015.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend approval

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Right-of-Way Grant

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**Items 21 & 53:**

**APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilman Weekly and Councilwoman Moncrief

Approval to enter into negotiations with HELP USA to build housing on a parcel of the MASH site - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas will be taking the necessary steps to demolish the former Transitional Living Center on the MASH site. The City wants to enter into negotiations with HELP USA to purchase one of the lots and build housing for low income persons, once the site has been reparcelled

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The 7/14/2003 Real Estate Committee and staff recommend entering into negotiations with HELP USA to build housing on a parcel of the MASH site.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

June 23, 2003 letter from Mr. Richard Motta, President and CEO of HELP USA

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED Items 3-20, 22-52, and 54, 55 as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**Items 21 & 53:****APPROVED under separate actions** (see individual items)

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that the location involved in Item 8 is near an automobile dealership owned by JOSEPH SCALA, with whom he previously had a separate business relationship. That relationship no longer exists and he would be voting on Item 8. Items 10, 43, and 55 all involve locations that are near SuperPawn shops owned by his brother STEVEN MACK, with whom he also has a business relationship. Another SuperPawn shop owned by his brother is close to the location in Item 38; however, the contract change does not effect the portion of Alexander where his store is located. Additionally, STEVEN owns a building in close proximity to the location in Item 21. Since his brother has not approached him on any of these items, and he is confident none of them will impact his businesses, he would be voting on all of them.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Consent – Real Estate

Item 55 – [Approval to enter into negotiations with HELP USA to build housing on a parcel of the MASH site](#)

**MINUTES:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reported, as Chair of the Real Estate Committee, that the Committee met and reviewed all of the items on the Real Estate Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council, with exception of Item 53, which is to be pulled for discussion.

There was no further discussion.

(9:56 – 9:59)

**1-1670**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER****DIRECTOR: DOUGLAS A. SELBY**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****ADMINISTRATIVE:**

Report on the status of the 61 acre development program

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On January 8, 2003 the Council authorized a program for the City to undertake development efforts on the City's 61 acres through its City Parkway corporation. Staff will present a report on what has happened since then and what is scheduled for the coming months.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Report only; no action required.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint

**MOTION:**

**None required. A report was given.**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

DOUG SELBY, City Manager

RICHARD OGLESBY, Project Administrator, City Parkway

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY MANAGER SELBY to ensure that he is notified in the future of matters affecting Ward 5.

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed CITY MANAGER SELBY to prepare a financial and market report substantiating Class A office space downtown, explaining how the residential component fits into the overall plan, and how residential development will support commercial development.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Administrative

Item 56 – Report on the status of the 61 acre development program

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK instructed CITY MANAGER SELBY to include the departments of Planning and Public Works in this process.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN WEEKLY directed CITY MANAGER SELBY to look into the issue of paintball, because his office has received many complaints. CITY MANAGER SELBY indicated that JIM DiFIORE, Manager of Business Services, was looking into that matter.

(10:16 – 11:00)

**1-2500**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY****DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action to approve the City Attorney's subgrant from the Violence Against Women Act (VAWA) \$51,500 awarded, \$17,167 General Fund in-kind match, total for project \$68,667

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$51,500 grant/\$17,167 match☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** CAO/Criminal☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund/Grant Special Revenue Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City Attorney's Office has been a VAWA grant recipient since 1997. The grant funded a victim witness advocate for five years and has previously funded an investigator for two years. This grant will continue the funding of a domestic violence investigator. Federal guidelines require a 25% match. The in-kind match is a City funded investigator assisting the domestic violence investigator on serious domestic violence cases and victim location.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is the recommendation of the City Attorney's Office that the City Council approve this grant application.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Grant Award and Special Conditions

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN stated that the approximate \$17,000 in matching funds from the City represents the compensation package to be added to the base salary. Approval of this matter will allow for the addition of a victim's advocate dedicated exclusively to domestic violence cases. He recommended approval.

There was no further discussion.

(11:00)

**2-682**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial:  
Approved January 8, 2003 subject to six month review: Robert Barragan, 1105 Princess Katy,  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89119

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Submitted at the meeting: facsimile to Councilman Mack from Adam Corrigan and folder with affidavits and exhibits from Attorney Crawford

**MOTION:**

**REESE – DENIED the appeal, thereby denying the work card – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

**APPEARANCES:**

DOUG CRAWFORD, Attorney, appeared representing the applicant, who was also present  
STACY RODD, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department  
JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services

(11:00 – 11:22)

**2-730**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Mia Alissa Rapaglia, 7300 Pirates Cove #1088, Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Appellant Letter of Appeal
2. City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant
3. Letter from PrimeTime Preschool

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 59 and 64 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:54 – 9:56)

**1-1564**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, WFM Nevada, Inc., dba Whole Foods Market, 8855 West Charleston Boulevard, William E. Jordan Dir, Pres, Asst Secy, Patrick E. Bradley, VP, CFO, Secy, Treas, Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food Markets, Inc., 100%, William E. Jordan, VP, Patrick E. Bradley, VP, Whole Foods Market, Inc., 100%, Whole Foods Market, Inc., PTC (NOTE: Item to be heard in the afternoon session in conjunction with Item #120 - SUP-2486) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the planning and fire codes and Health Dept. regulations

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Alex Heckathorn

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 60 and Item 120 [SUP-2486] was held under Item 120.  
(3:22 – 3:25)

**5-1463**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Stockholder/Officer for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Gaming License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Four Queens, Inc., dba Four Queens Hotel & Casino, 202 Fremont Street, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., 100%, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Stockholder/Officer for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Scott A. Eaton

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF– APPROVED the temporary license subject to provisions with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that even though his brother-in-law, ANDREW DONNER, has a contract with the Lady Luck Casino, he would be voting, as he does not believe this matter will affect that contract.

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY SCOTT EATON, Lionel, Sawyer, and Collins, 300 S. Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant, who was also present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, indicated that the applicant met the requirements for approval of the temporary license for the tavern and the non-restricted gaming license, subject to approval by Nevada Gaming Commission.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 61 - Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Stockholder/Officer for a Tavern License and a Non-restricted Gaming License subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Four Queens, Inc., dba Four Queens Hotel & Casino, 202 Fremont Street, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, TLC Casino Enterprises, Inc., 100%, Terry L. Caudill, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. CAUDILL commented that several months ago when he started this process, he felt that the downtown area held a lot of promise, and he feels stronger about that now. He would like to work with City administration and the downtown owners to market the downtown area as a unit. He looks forward to working with the City and doing business downtown. MAYOR GOODMAN agreed with MR. CAUDILL and said that he is delighted with the ownership changes occurring downtown.

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF remarked that she met with MR. CAUDILL and discussed his intentions for the Four Queens Hotel & Casino, and she is very excited about the improvements.

There was no further discussion.

(11:22 – 11:27)

**2-1512**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES****DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Frogeez on 4<sup>th</sup>, Inc., dba Frogeez on 4<sup>th</sup>, 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3 (Non-operational), Andre M. Rochat, Dir, Pres, 75%, Mary J. Jarvis, Dir, Secy, Treas, 25%, To: Sea Breeze Entertainment Group, LLC, dba Steiner's "A Nevada Style Pub", 1750 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 115, Herschel H. Gordon, Mgr, Mmbr, 51%, Roger G. Sachs, Mmbr, 25%, Laura G. Shuster, Mmbr, 10%, Gary E. Leiser, Mmbr, Gen Mgr, 5% - Ward 4 (Brown)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern License and a new Restricted Gaming License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be provided following discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

HANK GORDON, ROGER SACHS, and ATTORNEY SONIA VERMEYS, Schreck, Brignone, and Godfrey, were present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, deferred to STACY RODD, Detective, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro), who reported that MR. SACHS has a minor criminal background that caused some concern. However, even though the concerns do not rise to a level where Metro would seek denial of the license, a condition of a one-year review would be appropriate.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 62 - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership, Location and Business Name for a Tavern License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Frogeez on 4<sup>th</sup>, Inc., dba Frogeez on 4<sup>th</sup>, 300 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3 (Non-operational), Andre M. Rochat, Dir, Pres, 75%, Mary J. Jarvis, Dir, Secy, Treas, 25%, To: Sea Breeze Entertainment Group, LLC, dba Steiner's "A Nevada Style Pub", 1750 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 115, Herschel H. Gordon, Mgr, Mmbr, 51%, Roger G. Sachs, Mmbr, 25%, Laura G. Shuster, Mmbr, 10%, Gary E. Leiser, Mmbr, Gen Mgr, 5%

**MINUTES – Continued:**

ATTORNEY VERMEYS mentioned that MR. SACHS has been in the restaurant and bar business for approximately 20 years. He is a graduate of UCLA and has successfully been operating the Steiner's on Cheyenne Avenue. The Gaming Control Board unanimously approved MR. SACHS a gaming license.

MR. GORDON clarified that he is purchasing the license for Frogeez on Fourth Street to be used for a tavern located on Buffalo Drive. He assured MAYOR GOODMAN that he is still looking into opening a business downtown that will complement the redevelopment efforts. The Steiner's Pub on Cheyenne has been very successful under the operation of MR. SACHS, and it is one of those unique taverns where 65% of its sales are from food.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked what would happen if the license for Frogeez is approved for transfer. MR. DiFIORE indicated that a new license could be purchased to operate at that location, a license that is up for sale could also be purchased. The location currently carries a special use permit, which will expire within six months if a license is not purchased for that location.

There was no further discussion.

(11:27 – 11:32)

**2-1729**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR:** MARK R. VINCENT

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Hypnotist License, Alameda Ventures, LLC, dba Hypnoadvantage, LLC, 5111 Telegraph Avenue, #272, Carol M. Adams, Mmbr, 88% - California

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Hypnotist License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommend approval with authority for the Director or Designee to issue a permanent license upon receipt of a favorable police report

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Letter from Carol Adams

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the temporary license subject to a six-month (7/21/2004) review with the manager of Business Services granted the authority to approve the permanent license after completion of appropriate process – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was present.

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services, stated that the applicant met all the requirements for a temporary approval of a hypnotist license.

MS. ADAMS indicated that she will give sessions to people struggling with weight loss, smoking, and stress. Her residency in Las Vegas will depend upon approval of this license.

COUNCILMAN REESE expressed concern about the license not being site specific. MR. DiFIORE explained that MS. ADAMS intends to provide services at various banquet facilities, hotels, etc., and obtaining a license for each occasion. Approval of the hypnotist license by the Council is required for her to do a seminar. If she contracts with the police department or other organizations she can obtain a special event license, which is approved administratively, on a case-by-case basis, very similar to a catering license. He recommended approval.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Finance and Business Services

Item 63 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of a new Hypnotist License, Alameda Ventures, LLC, dba Hypnadvantage, LLC, 5111 Telegraph Avenue, #272, Carol M. Adams, Mmbr, 88% - California

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

(11:32 – 11:36)

**2-1924**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - Discussion and possible action regarding a new Massage Establishment License, Xiao Ping Wang, LLC, dba Silk Road Massage Center, 2121 South Decatur Boulevard, Suite 2, Xiao P. W. Cassidy, Mmbr, 100% - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Massage Establishment License

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Recommendation to be provided after discussion of this item at the City Council meeting

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Map

**MOTION:**

**REESE** – Motion to bring forward and **STRIKE** Items 59 and 64 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:54 – 9:56)

**1-1564**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the Neighborhood Partners Fund (NPF) Board recommendations to allocate \$75,000 for 22 out of 25 neighborhood projects - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$75,000
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Planning and Support
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The Neighborhood Partners Fund (NPF) program was established by Council action in 1998. It is designed to assist citizens in improving the quality of life for City of Las Vegas neighborhoods and directly responds to one of the City Council's priorities: Develop and support neighborhood integrity and livability. The NPF Board is appointed by the Mayor and Council.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Neighborhood Partners Fund Board recommends that the City Council approve the funding of 22 neighborhood projects totaling \$75,000 with a match of \$238,317 in cash, volunteer labor, materials, and professional services.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. NPF Grant Recipient Spreadsheet

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services, deferred to MARIA CASTILLO-COUCH, Sr. Management Analyst, Neighborhood Services, who reviewed the information contained under the above Purpose/Background and Recommendation headings. She noted that the partnership the City has developed with the various neighborhoods this past year has impacted City communities to the tune of \$1.5 million.

ALLEN JACOBSON, Vice Chair, Neighborhood Partners Board, thanked the 13 members of the Neighborhood Partners Board who reviewed and evaluated this year's 25 applications and recognized the neighborhood leaders, who stood in the audience as he called their name. He mentioned the types of projects the funding will assist.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Neighborhood Services

Item 65 – Discussion and possible action on the Neighborhood Partners Fund (NPF)  
Board recommendations to allocate \$75,000 for 22 out of 25 neighborhood projects

**MINUTES – Continued:**

JAY HEINER and JEANNIE HOOD of the DCDC board came forward. MS. HOOD said that they were very honored to be recipients of an award from the Neighborhood Partners Fund. She is very excited that their project is in conformance with Plan 2000 created by the Downtown Development Committee. MR. HEINER described their project, which is to beautify the landscaping of about three to five homes along Stewart Avenue.

MS. CASTILLO-COUCH encouraged neighborhoods interested in the Neighborhood Partners Fund to contact Neighborhood Services. The next application process will begin 3/2004.

MR. JACOBSON recommended approval of these projects, which will improve neighborhoods in the City.

COUNCILMAN REESE gave thanks to MR. JACOBSON and all the volunteers. It is wonderful for him to see that neighborhoods share the vision of the Council. He also thanked Neighborhood Services staff.

There was no further discussion.

(10:49 – 11:00)

**2-353**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS****DIRECTOR: RICHARD GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing, discussion and possible action on the Land Use Assumptions presented in report form for the City's Traffic Signal Impact Fee Program - All Wards

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/Traffic - Eng. Integration☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In accordance with NRS 278B.060 and NRS 278B.180, to proceed with the implementation of an Impact Fee system, Land Use Assumptions must be developed and considered by a local government that wishes to impose an impact fee program. At this time, the impact fee program will be for the funding of traffic signals throughout the City of Las Vegas.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

It is recommended that the City Council consider and approve these land use assumptions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Land Use Assumption Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works, explained that NRS 278 requires that Land Use Assumptions be adopted for the next ten years in order to adopt Traffic Signal Impact Fees. Land Use Assumptions project densities, population, etc., because those kinds of issues directly affect the number of traffic signals expected over the next ten years. The Land Use Assumptions report presented mirrors the City's Master Plan and has been reviewed by the Capital Improvement Advisory Committee. He recommended approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked if Tables I, II, and III accurately project growth trends. MR. GOECKE responded in the affirmative, according to the information obtained from Planning and Development.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Public Works

Item 66 – Public Hearing, discussion and possible action on the Land Use Assumptions presented in report form for the City's Traffic Signal Impact Fee Program

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TOM MCGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, requested a copy of the backup for this matter. MAYOR GOODMAN confirmed with staff that the backup and the report were accessible through the City Clerk's Office.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

There was no further discussion.

(11:36 – 11:39)

**2-2071**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

**R-103-2003** - Discussion and possible action regarding a Resolution transferring 2003/2004 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap of \$31,637,800 to three affordable housing projects in the city of Las Vegas - Wards 1 and 5 (Moncrief and Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Resolution transferring \$31,637,800 of the 2003/2004 Private Activity Bond Volume Cap to the State Housing Division for three affordable housing projects.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The City Manager recommends that the City Council approve the Resolution and authorize the Mayor to execute the Agreements with the subrecipients after they have been approved by the City Attorney.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo

2. Resolution No. R-103-2003

Submitted at the meeting: packet titled Downtown Central Development Committee 2003 Proposed Project

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, and SUE PRESCOTT of Neighborhood Services were present. MS. SEGERBLOM explained that staff solicited Private Activity Bond Volume Cap applications from developers during the months of March, April, and May. Referring to the overhead, she explained that the Bond Cap must be used for new construction or for acquisition/rehab of old construction multi-family units.

The three allocations, totaling about \$31 million, include the acquisition and rehab of Sundance Village Apartments, the new construction of SDA Senior Housing, and new construction of SDA Housing II.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Resolutions

Item 67 – R-103-2003

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN questioned the meaning of private activity bond cap. MS. SEGERBLOM explained that it is a way to finance affordable multi-family housing. It allows for the sale of the bonds and to be paid back over time and carry a tax credit that makes it enticing to develop in areas with more expensive land or less attractive. The City does not have any liability of the bonds. The State allows the City to allocate the bonds.

There was no further discussion.

(11:39 – 11:42)

**2-2209**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS**    ☐ **CONSENT**    ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:**

**ABEYANCE ITEM** - CITIZENS PRIORITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CPAC) – John Medina, Term Expiration 6-2003; Joseph Sayles, Term Expiration 6-2003

**Fiscal Impact**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b>

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Terms of appointment on the Citizens Priority Advisory Committee (CPAC) are coterminous with the Councilmembers making the appointment. Members must be City residents and there is no limit to the number of terms, which may be served. At the Council Meeting of July 2, 2003, this item was abeyed to July 16, 2003.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board is coterminous appointment by the appropriate member of Council.

Options are:

Appointment of new representatives OR

Reappointment of Mr. Medina by Mayor Goodman and Mr. Sayles by Councilman Weekly.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Current Listing & Authority - CPAC

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – Motion to REAPPOINT JOHN MEDINA (Goodman's appointment) and JOSEPH SAYLES (Weekly's appointment) – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to notify

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(11:42 – 11:43)  
**2-2367**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK****DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****BOARDS & COMMISSIONS:****ABEYANCE ITEM** - PLANNING COMMISSION, Stephen P. Quinn, Term Expiration 6-2003**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$80 per meeting attended☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Planning & Development☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The term of office for Mr. Stephen P. Quinn on the Planning Commission expired in June 2003. With the enactment of Ordinance 5193 in January 2000, this appointment is coterminous with the appointing Councilmembers term of office. Mr. Quinn is a Ward 1 appointment. He has an excellent attendance record according to the Director of Planning & Development, is eligible for reappointment, and wishes to be reappointed. At the Council Meeting of June 18, 2003, this item was abeyed to July 16, 2003.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Procedure for this Board is coterminous appointment by the appropriate member of Council.  
Options are:

Appointment of a new representative OR

Reappointment of Mr. Quinn by Councilwoman Moncrief

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Current Listing & Authority - Planning Commission

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – ABEYANCE to 8/20/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(11:43 – 11:44)

**2-2410**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-59** – Updates and streamlines various provisions of Title 19 and Chapter 11.68 relating to sign review procedures. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

It has been determined that a number of the provisions of the Municipal Code that govern the review and approval of on-premise signs and Master Sign Plans are duplicative or obsolete. This bill will update and streamline those provisions accordingly.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 7/16/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 6/30/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 6/18/2003; First Publication – 7/5/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-59

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY** – Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5615 – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(11:44 – 11:45)

**2-2444**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:**

**Bill No. 2003-65** – Establishes drought conservation measures in accordance with the Southern Nevada Water Authority's Drought Plan. Sponsored by: Mayor Oscar B. Goodman

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In March of this year the City Council approved the Southern Nevada Water Authority's Drought Plan. This bill will establish conservation measures for the City in accordance with the Plan, including limitations on the use of water and turf limitations that will apply in drought conditions. The bill also reflects conservation measures that are or will be included in the Las Vegas Valley Water District's Service Rules.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

**ADOPTION** at 7/16/2003 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 7/14/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/2/2003; First Publication – 7/5/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

Bill No. 2003-65

Submitted at the meeting: Bill No. 2003-65 – First Amendment

**MOTION:**

**GOODMAN – Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as a First Amendment as Ordinance No. 5616 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

Clerk to proceed with second publication

**MINUTES:**

CHIEF CIVIL DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY VAL STEED indicated that the First Amendment includes adding a reference to the service rules of the Las Vegas Valley Water District and to reallocate some of the responsibilities of the ordinance to the City Manger's Office.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Recommending Committee

Item 71 – Bill No. 2003-65

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MATT PINJUV, Planning and Development, stated that the ordinance is based on a model ordinance developed by a team made up of various entities in the Valley, in an attempt to make the drought restrictions uniform across the Valley. One of the conservation measures includes review of new development plans by the Council for conformance with turf restrictions in the code. He pointed out that this ordinance is only in effect during declared droughts.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL if the concerns discussed by former County Commissioner PAUL CHRISTENSEN at the Recommending Committee where this bill was scheduled for a public hearing were resolved and included in this draft. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER FRETWELL indicated that a lot of those issues are administrative in nature. In fact, the City Manager's Office has been working with Public Works on some of the issues raised at that time. Staff anticipates that as this ordinance is implemented, fee structures or the permitting process might have to be streamlined to encourage people to conserve water. Staff is under direction of the City Manager to identify incentives to make it easier for people to conserve.

COUNCILMAN REESE expressed concern about the ability to enforce these new restrictions. CITY MANAGER SELBY stated that primary enforcement will be through the Southern Nevada Water Authority. The City will enforce the ordinances dealing with turf installation on new projects, as conditions of development. KEN ALBRIGHT, Director of Resources for the Southern Nevada Water Authority, commented that enforcement is going to be the biggest issue for the Authority. Another twelve individuals were hired for enforcement. There are not enough policing powers available to handle 1.5 million people. Conservation will have to occur as the mindset of the water users is changed. The increase in water rates will hopefully reduce the amount of water waste and encourage water smart landscape.

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that he fully supports the water conservation efforts. However, he questioned the validity of rumors about a study underway to reassess a past study done in 1991. MR. ALBRIGHT indicated that the upcoming Board meeting will address the beginning of a funding phase for the "White Report," which came out in the early 90s. This is to assess the ramifications of growth related issues. COUNCILMAN MACK stressed that growth is going to continue, and it has to be handled in a smart manner, but having an anti-growth measure, as many people fear, would be a huge negative effect on this community.

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked DOUG BENNETT who has spoken on City shows about the different measures people can take to conserve water. He offered the use of KCLV-Channel 2 to get the word out about water conservation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Recommending Committee

Item 71 – Bill No. 2003-65

**MINUTES – Continued:**

TODD FARLOW, 240 N. 19<sup>th</sup> Street, questioned how the revenues will be expended. CHUCK HOUSER, General Counsel, Las Vegas Valley Water District, replied that raising rates will encourage water conservation, not generate more money. Often times where other districts have raised rates, they have lost money. Whatever monies are generated will be put aside.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, asked how the Water Authority is going to fine people when they do not have the authority to do so. MR. HOUSER answered that the Water District has a special act in the Nevada Revised Statutes that gives the Water District the power to enforce conservation and proper water usage. MR. GALLEG0 disagreed with that.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked what guarantees that low-income residents will not be subjected to subsidizing the water usage of middle- and upper-income residents and/or businesses. MR. HOUSER indicated that the rates will go up 35 cents for the first 5,000 gallons and increase as water usage increases.

MAYOR GOODMAN said that, as a member of the Water Authority, he notices that the briefings have become more dramatic every month about the drought. In order to make change, peoples' habits have to change. He strongly believes that the conservation measures encourage prudent use of water. He disagrees with the rumors that conservation measures are being put into effect to stop growth. On the contrary, they will sustain growth. He warned the citizens that the new measures are serious, and the public should take them very seriously.

There was no further discussion.

(11:45 – 12:04)

**2-2466**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-60** – Annexation No. ANX-2256 – Property location: On the northwest corner of Monte Cristo Way and Centennial Parkway; Petitioned by: Shiron Corporation; Acreage: 1.32 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the northwest corner of Monte Cristo Way and Centennial Parkway. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 15, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 8/6/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/14/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/2/2003; First Publication – 7/25/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

8/6/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-61** – Annexation No. ANX-2355 – Property location: On the north side of Regena Avenue, 330 feet east of El Capitan Way; Petitioned by: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: 0.62 acres; Zoned: R-E (County zoning), R-E (City equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property generally located on the north side of Regena Avenue, 330 feet east of El Capitan Way. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (August 15, 2003) is set by this ordinance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 8/6/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/14/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/2/2003; First Publication – 7/25/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

None required.

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

8/6/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-62** – Provides that valet parking is allowed as a conditional use in certain zoning districts. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will provide that valet parking is allowed as a conditional use in certain zoning districts in connection with the operation of commercial and other establishments. Conditions include site development plan review and an upper limit on the amount of required on-site parking that can be devoted to valet parking (20%).

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 8/6/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/14/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/2/2003; First Publication – 7/25/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

8/6/2003 Council Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**

**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

**Bill No. 2003-63** – Updates the Town Center Development Standards Manual regarding permissible commercial uses, tree sizes, and parking lot walkways. Proposed by: Robert S. Genzer, Director of Planning and Development

**Fiscal Impact**

☒

**No Impact**

**Amount:**

☐

**Budget Funds Available**

**Dept./Division:**

☐

**Augmentation Required**

**Funding Source:**

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This bill will update the Town Center Development Standards Manual in an number of respects. The bill will allow hotels, motels and similar uses by means of special use permit in the Service Commercial District, and will adjust the standards regarding tree sizes and parking lot walkways.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

ADOPTION at 8/6/2003 City Council meeting pursuant to the 7/14/2003 Recommending Committee.

First Reading – 7/2/2003; First Publication – 7/25/2003

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

None

**MOTION:**

**None required.**

**MINUTES:**

Recommendation noted.

8/6/2003 Council Agenda

**THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 12:04 P.M.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff and/or the applicant wish to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**      ☐ **CONSENT**      ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 216 N. 19th Street. **PROPERTY OWNER: VICTOR & YOLANDA ROBLES - Ward 3 (Reese)**

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$2,275.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removing the accessory structure, litter, trash, debris, junk, and high/dead vegetation, repairing the south side fence; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,275.00 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 77 – 216 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

COUNCILMAN REESE welcomed DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL and stated it was good to see him back.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance and that the property had been videotaped. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removal of the accessory structure, trash, debris, and litter. There was high vegetation and the south side fence was repaired; and, No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,275.00 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW stated that this property was located next to his and he wanted to comment on this matter. There might be a question raised as to why the neighborhood did not pitch in and clean up this property. He advised that for years the neighbors did clean up the property. However, what they found was that the owners were using the neighbors to keep their property clean and the City off of their case while they benefited from an increase in property value due to City-installed improvements in the area. Therefore, the neighbors stopped cleaning the property. The owner has no intention of selling this property. Therefore, it needs to be cleaned on a regular basis and the owners billed.

DAVID SEMENZA advised that the property was part of a divorce settlement and was awarded to the wife who currently resides in California. The property owner has advised the City of her intention to have the property rehabbed so it can be sold.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:11 – 1:15)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 2920 Brady Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: EMILIO ROJAS - Ward 3 (Reese)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,106.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removing, boarding and securing all entrances and windows; removing all refuse, waste, containers, miscellaneous items, and dog feces; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,106.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 78 – 2920 Brady Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removal of trash, waste containers, and boarding, securing all entrances and windows, and cleaning up dog mess. Also, No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,106.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked Neighborhood Services and MR. SEMENZA for a job well done.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MR. SEMENZA what the prospects for the home were, to which MR. SEMENZA replied that he did not know. MAYOR GOODMAN suggested it might be a good idea for the City to ascertain that information in the future.

MR. SEMENZA advised that many of these homes are abandoned and revert back to the mortgage company, which must wait a certain amount of time before the home can be reclaimed and put it on the market. If the City has problems with the property, the mortgage holder is notified. If the mortgage company does not arrange to clean the property, the City cleans it and requests more liens.

COUNCILMAN REESE remarked that, from information gathered from the neighbors, the owners of this house bought a new home in Green Valley. They are letting this house sit and have no interest in renting it.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY if there were any actions the City could take regarding abandoned homes that the City continually liens and that remain a blight. Does the City have a program in place to address this situation?

CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY replied that the City simply keeps after the homeowner, as explained by MR. SEMENZA, because there are property rights issues that prevent the City from seizing the property.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 78 – 2920 Brady Avenue

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN asked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL to find a solution to this problem. Possibly the property could be converted to a group home, a place for homeless veterans, or sold for development so that it has some useful functional purpose. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY STILL replied that he would work on this problem.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, informed the Council that other cities take the homeowners to Small Claims Court in order to recoup their money. This could be done on a monthly basis so that the property could be cleaned regularly. A lot of cities on the east coast are doing this now.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that he appreciated MR. GALLEGOS'S comments. However, he requested that the Council talk to Metro because vacant, boarded up houses attract crime.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:15 – 1:20)

**4-192**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs of an asbestos survey performed on the property located at 314 N. 16th Street. PROPERTY OWNER: YVONNE C. FUKUMOTO - Ward 5 (Weekly)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$805.00
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired Ninyo & Moore to perform an asbestos survey before the property was corrected by C & W Enterprises.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$805.00 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED** the action of Neighborhood Services – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 79 – 314 North 16<sup>th</sup> Street

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the City had performed a demolition on this property and brought it before the City Council on the March 19, 2003 agenda. A \$19,780 lien was placed on the property. The City also paid for an asbestos investigation on the house prior to the demolition; however, the cost of this investigation was not included in the lien amount. MR. SEMENZA recommended that expenses in the amount of \$805 be approved to pay for the asbestos inspection in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked what had happened to the structure, to which MR. SEMENZA replied that it was demolished and a lien was placed on the property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:20 – 1:22)

**4-346**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**      ☐ **CONSENT**      ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 7932 Hornbeam Court. PROPERTY OWNER: E M C MORTGAGE CORPORATION - Ward 6 (Mack)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$957.50
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing the broken window; padlocking the side gate; removing all litter, trash, debris, animal feces, and dead/dry vegetation; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$957.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 80 – 7932 Hornbeam Court

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, Weaver Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing the broken windows and padlocking the side gates, and removing litter, trash, debris, animal feces and dry vegetation. No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$957.50 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:22 – 1:24)

**3-401**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 9999 W. Charleston Blvd. (APN 163-01-502-002). PROPERTY OWNER: MEYER & SYLVIA G. GOLD - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$747.50
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all trash, debris, litter, dumping materials, and high/dry vegetation; and by posting "No Trespassing/Dumping" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$747.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 81 – 9999 West Charleston Boulevard

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removal of trash, debris, litter, material dumped on the property, and vegetation. Also, No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$747.50 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, requested the names of the cross streets for this property. MR. SEMENZA stated the property was located just west of Brush and West Charleston.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:24 – 1:25)

**4-454**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR:** SHARON SEGERBLOM ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of nuisance/litter located at 9999 W. Charleston Blvd. (APN 163-01-502-003). PROPERTY OWNER: MEYER & SYLVIA G. GOLD - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> \$747.50
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, KO Construction, Inc. was hired to abate the problem by removing all trash, debris, litter, dumping materials, and high/dry vegetation; and by posting "No Trespassing/Dumping" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$747.50 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003

Neighborhood Services Department

Item 82– 9999 West Charleston Boulevard

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removal of trash, debris, material dumped on the property and vegetation. Also, No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$747.50 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed anger at the condition of the properties he had witnessed and emphasized that something needs to be done about the problem. He was of the opinion that any homeowner who allows their property to deteriorate to these conditions, resulting in a blight to the neighborhood, should be criminally charged. He requested that DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL look for an ordinance that would allow the City to criminally cite the property owners.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY DAN STILL advised that the City currently has the authority to criminally cite property owners and bring them in to Municipal Court. The problem is the timeline is very lengthy and, rather than going through this lengthy process, the City decided administratively that it was faster to clean up the property. He indicated he would have to research whether or not the City can clean up the property as well as criminally cite the property owners.

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that the City should attempt to take both actions and let the homeowners challenge those actions as unconstitutional. The City cannot allow these conditions to exist. This is horrendous.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:25 – 1:28)

**4-512**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 6316 Dayton Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: LOURDES ASKIN - Ward 1 (Moncrief)

**Fiscal Impact**☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$2,106.35☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removing all high vegetation, trash, debris, junk, miscellaneous items, dilapidated wood framing/lattice from the property, pool, and hot tub; trimming the bush/tree; securing all gates; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,106.35 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 83 – 6316 Dayton Avenue

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that he was promised a check; however, the check was not received. Therefore, he would ask for the lien. The property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by removal of trash, debris and high vegetation, as well as a dilapidated wood frame lattice, pool and hot tub. Also, No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,106.35 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:28 – 1:29)

**4-593**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**

**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**      ☐ **CONSENT**      ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 925 Vincent Way. **PROPERTY OWNER: EVANGELINA H. RAMOS & PHILIP HENLEY - Ward 1 (Moncrief)**

**Fiscal Impact**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>No Impact</b>	<b>Amount:</b> 2,784.85
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Budget Funds Available</b>	<b>Dept./Division:</b> Neighborhood Services/Response
<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Augmentation Required</b>	<b>Funding Source:</b> General Fund

**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, Neighborhood Services hired KO Construction, Inc. to abate the problem by boarding and securing all windows, doors, and shed; removing all trash, debris, refuse, waste, and miscellaneous items from the house, yards, driveway and shed; removing all vehicles, high/dry vegetation, and graffiti; and by posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,784.85 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien
8. Video shown but not submitted

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Neighborhood Services Department  
Item 84 – 925 Vincent Way

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Manager of Neighborhood Response, advised that the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken or an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired the low bidder, KO Construction, to abate the problem. The subject property was corrected by boarding and securing all doors and windows, securing the shed, and removing the trash, debris and miscellaneous items on the property. Also, No Trespassing signs were posted. MR. SEMENZA recommended the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$2,784.85 in order that charges be filed and recorded against the property constituting a special assessment and lien, as well as authorize the Notice and Lien of Assessment to be duly recorded with the County Treasurer's Office.

The property owner was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:29 – 1:32)

**4-648**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

***CONSENT AGENDA***

- TENTATIVE MAP  
85 **TMP-2295** - Greystone Nevada, Limited Liability Company
- REINSTATEMENT AND EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING  
86 **EOT-2267** - F & F Partners, et al
- EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW  
87 **EOT-2302** - Furniture Mart Land Holdings, Limited Liability Company  
88 **EOT-2389** - Ebenezer Church of God in Christ
- EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING  
89 **EOT-2408** - Dave Mason, et al

***DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS***

- REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING  
90 **ROC-2306** - P and K, Inc. on behalf of MKP Management Company, Limited Liability Company  
91 **ROC-2503** - New Horizon Construction on behalf of Estates of Willowdale, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING  
92 **SDR-2301** - Smoke Ranch Business Park, Limited Liability Company
- MINOR MODIFICATION TO THE MONTECITO TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT - PUBLIC HEARING  
93 **MOD-2315** - Montecito Companies on behalf of Silvestri and Martin Family Trust
- MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING  
94 **MSP-2304** - Light America on behalf of Sara K. Horgan Irrevocable Trust, et al  
95 **MSP-2323** - Clark County Credit Union
- VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING  
96 **VAC-2314** - Perma-Bilt Homes on behalf of Coronopark, Limited Liability Company, et al

# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 16, 2003

97 **VAC-2317** - Kimball Hill Homes on behalf of El Durango, Limited Liability Company, et al

98 **VAC-2318** - Richmond American Homes on behalf of Southwest Desert Equities, Limited Liability Company

99 **VAC-2320** - Perma-Bilt Homes on behalf of Coronopark, Limited Liability Company, et al

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

100 **VAR-2283** - Church Roman Catholic Las Vegas

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-2283 - PUBLIC HEARING

101 **SUP-2282** - Church Roman Catholic Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-2283 AND SUP-2282 - PUBLIC HEARING

102 **SDR-2280** - Church Roman Catholic Las Vegas

VACATION RELATED TO VAR-2283, SUP-2282 AND SDR-2280 - PUBLIC HEARING

103 **VAC-2337** - Church Roman Catholic Las Vegas

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

104 **VAR-2447** - Larsen Family Trust

105 **VAR-2292** - Lamar Outdoor Advertising on behalf of Jermac

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-2292 - PUBLIC HEARING

106 **SUP-2291** - Lamar Outdoor Advertising on behalf of Jermac

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

107 **SUP-2248** - Woody's Chicago Style on behalf of Weingarten Nostat, Inc.

108 **SUP-2255** - A Secret Closet on behalf of Rainbow Sahara Center #2, Inc.

109 **SUP-2261** - Good Dogs, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Masonic Lodge #32

110 **SUP-2273** - Preston and Lillian Serrano

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2273 - PUBLIC HEARING

111 **SDR-2341** - Preston and Lillian Serrano

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

112 **SUP-2288** - S.H. Corner, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Peccole 1982 Trust

113 **SUP-2289** - S.H. Corner, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Peccole 1982 Trust



# City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 16, 2003

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2288 AND SUP-2289 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 114 **SDR-2286** - S.H. Corner, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Peccole 1982 Trust

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 115 **SUP-2290** - Lamar Outdoor Advertising on behalf of Mer-Car Corporation  
116 **SUP-2293** - Caspian Restaurant and Market on behalf of TJP/Weiner Family Trust  
117 **SUP-2322** - The Clark County School District on behalf of Regional Transportation Commission  
118 **SUP-2298** - Mimi's Café on behalf of Durango 215, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2298 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 119 **SDR-2297** - Mimi's Café on behalf of Durango 215, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING

- 120 **SUP-2486** - WFM Nevada, Inc. on behalf of Crossroads Commons, Limited Liability Company

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 121 **ZON-2184** - Cliff's Edge, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Bureau of Land Management  
122 **ZON-2252** - Perma-Bilt Homes on behalf of L M Las Vegas, Limited Liability Company, et al

VARIANCE RELATED TO ZON-2252 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 123 **VAR-2254** - Perma-Bilt Homes on behalf of L M Las Vegas, Limited Liability Company, et al

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-2252 AND VAR-2254 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 124 **SUP-2253** - Perma-Bilt Homes on behalf of L M Las Vegas, Limited Liability Company, et al

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

- 125 **ZON-2277** - Rapid Cash on behalf of Advance Group, Inc.

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-2277 - PUBLIC HEARING

- 126 **SUP-2279** - Rapid Cash on behalf of Advance Group, Inc.



PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of July 16, 2003

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2277 AND SUP-2279 -  
PUBLIC HEARING

127 **SDR-2278** - Rapid Cash on behalf of Advance Group, Inc.

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING

128 **ZON-2312** - Whitney Investments, Limited Liability Company

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2312 - PUBLIC  
HEARING

129 **SDR-2313** - Whitney Investments, Limited Liability Company

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING

130 **TABLED ITEM - GPA-0007-02** - County of Clark (Parks and Recreation)

***NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.***

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

131 **SDR-2208** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208 - PUBLIC HEARING

132 **SUP-2209** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

133 **SUP-2211** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

134 **SUP-2212** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

135 **SUP-2214** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

136 **SUP-2215** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

137 **SUP-2216** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

138 **SUP-2217** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

VACATION RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212, SUP-2214,  
SUP-2215, SUP-2216, SUP-2217 - PUBLIC HEARING

139 **VAC-2204** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

140 **VAC-2205** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

141 **VAC-2206** - Centennial Gateway, Limited Liability Company

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**TENTATIVE MAP - TMP-2295 - PARCEL H @ THE PASEOS - GREYSTONE NEVADA, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Tentative Map and a WAIVER OF TITLE 18 REQUIREMENTS FOR PRIVATE STREET WIDTHS FOR A 160-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on 19.48 acres adjacent to the west side of Desert Foothills Drive between Desert Sunrise Road and Paseo Mist Drive (APN: 137-34-714-002), P-C (Planned Community) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Item 85 [TMP-2295], Item 86 [EOT-2267], and Item 88 [EOT-2389] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

**NOTE:** Item 89 [EOT-2408] was subsequently reconsidered upon REESE's motion, which carried unanimously with BROWN excused, and then HELD IN ABEYANCE to 8/20/2003 upon MONCRIEF's motion, which also carried unanimously with BROWN excused.

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(1:32)  
**4-726**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of the Tentative Map shall be for no more than two (2) years. If a Final Map is not recorded on all or a portion of the area embraced by the Tentative Map within two (2) years of the approval of the Tentative Map, a new Tentative Map must be filed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 85 – TMP-2295

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

2. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for Master Development Plan Review (SV-0018-01) and the P-C (Planned Community) Zone Plan Development Standards.3. Prior to submittal for a Final Map Technical Review or for review of Civil Improvement plans, whichever occurs first, a revised Tentative Map depicting all required trails bordering the site shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department and Public Works Department staff.
4. Street names must be provided in accordance with the City's Street Naming Regulations.
5. All development is subject to the conditions of City Departments and State Subdivision Statutes.
6. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

Public Works

7. If not already constructed or guaranteed by the master developer, construct half-street improvements, including widened paving, on Desert Moon Drive, Paseo Mist Drive, Desert Foothills Drive, and Desert Sunrise Road adjacent to this site and a paved legal access to this site concurrent with development of this site.
8. Provide or obtain private street easement rights for the full width of the proposed entrance street, Travinio Avenue, and construct the full width of the entry area concurrent with development of this site.
9. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
10. The proposed 28 feet wide private streets with rolled curb are acceptable as shown on this Tentative Map, provided no parking is permitted on either side of the streets. Red colored concrete shall be used to construct the curb and gutter.
11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on-site circulation and overall layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Summerlin Standard Drawing #S-58.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 85 – TMP-2295

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

12. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services. No parking shall be allowed on 28 feet wide streets.
13. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements; the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable Development and Improvement Standards.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for SV-18-01, the Summerlin Village 23A Tentative Map and all other subsequent site-related actions.
16. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Tentative Map is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to approval of the construction plans by the City. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the recordation of a Final Map or the approval of subdivision-related construction plans, whichever may occur first.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REINSTATEMENT AND EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - EOT-2267 - F & F PARTNERS, ET AL** - Request for a Reinstatement and Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning (Z-0019-01) FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) and R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on 31.7 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Centennial Parkway and Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-24-802-003, 004, 007, 010, and 011), PROPOSED USE: COMMERCIAL CENTER, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Item 85 [TMP-2295], Item 86 [EOT-2267], and Item 88 [EOT-2389] subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

**NOTE:** Item 89 [EOT-2408] was subsequently reconsidered upon REESE's motion, which carried unanimously with BROWN excused, and then HELD IN ABEYANCE to 8/20/2003 upon MONCRIEF's motion, which also carried unanimously with BROWN excused.

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(1:32)  
4-726

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Reinstatement and Extension of Time will expire on June 20, 2005 unless another Extension of Time is approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 86 – EOT-2267

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Rezoning (Z-0019-01) and all other subsequent site related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - EOT-2302 - FURNITURE MART LAND HOLDINGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Site Development Plan Review [Z-0100-97(3)] FOR A 1,300,000 SQUARE-FOOT COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT (WORLD MARKET CENTER) WITH A WAIVER OF THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS on 20.00 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Bonneville Avenue and Grand Central Parkway (APN: 139-33-610-004), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared along with the applicant, ROBERT HOLGATE.

MAYOR GOODMAN expressed concern on behalf of himself and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY regarding the development taking place on the Union Pacific site, including the City's 61 acres. One of the major projects anticipated is the World Market Center, which has already had its grand opening. He has heard reports that over 200 leases have been signed by furniture manufacturers throughout the world and that the project is a go. He requested a status report prior to voting on an extension of time.

MR. HOLGATE reported that 100% financing is in place for this project, and the construction documents are currently being prepared. The documents should be ready to submit through express plan check on August 15. Immediately upon approval, funding will be received from their lenders and they will be ready to break ground, which should occur approximately the first of September.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 87 – EOT-2302

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN stated that he is counting on the first phase of this project being built by January 2005. MR. HOLGATE replied that he expects completion of the building in December 2004, with the loading and presentation for the first show in January 2005. The dates are not going to change.

TOM McGOWAN, Las Vegas resident, asked what percentage of the financing will be internally capitalized and what percentage externally capitalized. He also asked the specific reason for requesting the delay. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that he should speak with MR. HOLGATE who would be able to answer his questions.

There was no further discussion.

(1:32 – 1:35)

**4-740**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time shall expire on May 16, 2005 unless another Extension of Time is approved by the City Council.
2. Conformance to the conditions of approval for Site Development Plan Review [Z-0100-97(3)] and all site-related actions as required by the Planning and Development Department and Department of Public Works.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

EXTENSION OF TIME - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - **EOT-2389** - **EBENEZER CHURCH OF GOD IN CHRIST** - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Site Development Plan Review (SD-0066-00) and a Waiver of the Required Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 10,864 SQUARE FOOT ADDITION TO AN EXISTING CHURCH at 1072 West Bartlett Avenue (APN: 139-21-510-162, 163, 204 and 205), R-2 (Medium-Low Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** Item 85 [TMP-2295], Item 86 [EOT-2267] and Item 88 [EOT-2389] subject to conditions – **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

**NOTE:** Item 89 [EOT-2408] was subsequently reconsidered upon REESE's motion, which carried unanimously with BROWN excused, and then HELD IN ABEYANCE to 8/20/2003 upon MONCRIEF's motion, which also carried unanimously with BROWN excused.

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was not present.

There was no discussion.

(1:32)  
**4-726**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Extension of Time of an approved Site Development Plan Review shall expire on 06/12/05 unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 88 – EOT-2389

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

2. Compliance with all Conditions of Approval of Site Development Plan Review [SD-0066-00].

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☒

**CONSENT**

☐

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - EOT-2408 - DAVE MASON, ET AL - Request for an Extension of Time of an approved Rezoning (Z-0013-01) FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: P-R (Professional Office and Parking) on 2.85 acres adjacent to the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 300 feet south of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-04-101-021), PROPOSED USE: 30,058 SQUARE FOOT OFFICE COMPLEX, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – ABEYANCE to 8/20/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**NOTE: Item 89 [EOT-2408] was originally included in the Consent motion but subsequently reconsidered upon REESE's motion, which carried unanimously with BROWN excused.**

**MINUTES:**

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF stated that this zone change has been a sensitive issue. The neighbors have voiced concerns regarding the construction schedule and the extension of time because this project has been delayed numerous times.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that neither the applicant nor a representative was present and explained that some applicants do not appear if an item is placed on the Consent Agenda. He suggested that this item be held in abeyance so that the applicant can appear before the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(1:38 – 1:39)

**4-939**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - ROC-2306 - P AND K, INC. ON BEHALF OF MKP MANAGEMENT COMPANY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Review of Condition #3 of an approved Site Development Plan Review (SD-0023-00) which limited the minor automotive repair facility to servicing vehicles to be sold on site as part of the used car dealership; and Condition #2 of an approved Special Use Permit (U-0019-00) which restricted the site to a used car dealership on 1.4 acres located at 4651 and 4655 North Rancho Drive (APN: 138-02-101-009), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

1

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PHILIP STEWART and KEN MATONOVICH, co-owners of Foothills Auto Sales, 4655 North Rancho Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and requested approval based upon the Planning Commission's recommendation for approval.

COUNCILMAN MACK commended MR. STEWART and MR. MATONOVICH on their pre-owned auto sales dealership. It is aesthetically pleasing for the community as well as being very well run. Also, they conform to Sunday closed hours as new car dealerships do. These applicants have been very good neighbors, and their efforts are appreciated. He was initially hesitant to open up this type of use but changed his mind after meeting with the applicants.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 90 – ROC-2306

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:35 – 1:38)

**4-853**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Condition of Approval Number 2 of Special Use Permit (U-0019-00) shall be amended as follows: The use is restricted to a used car dealership and minor auto repair facility, with an auto smog check facility as an accessory use.
2. Condition of Approval Number 3 of Site Development Plan Review (SD-0023-00), which limited the minor auto repair facility to servicing vehicles to be sold on site as part of the used car dealership, is hereby deleted.
3. Conformance to all other conditions of approval for U-0019-00, SD-0023-00, and subsequent site-related actions.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. This Review of Condition of a Special Use Permit shall be reviewed one (1) year at which time the City Council may require the use to be discontinued. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the use be discontinued.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **ROC-2503 - NEW HORIZON CONSTRUCTION ON BEHALF OF ESTATES OF WILLOWDALE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Review of Condition #1 of an approved Rezoning (Z-0130-94) which limited the height of all dwellings to single story; and Condition #6 of Site Development Plan Review [Z-0033-99(1) and Z-0130-94(2)] which required the construction of storm drains and inlets to control nuisance drainage on Lake Tahoe Street and Hickam Avenue adjacent to this site on 25.22 acres on the east side of Bradley Road, between West Alexander Road and Hickam Avenue (APN: multiple), RPD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre) and R-E (Residential Estates) Under Resolution of Intent to R-PD2 (Residential Planned Development - 2 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL of the request to amend Condition #1 of Z-0130-94 and APPROVAL of the request to amend Condition #6 of Z-0033-99(1) and Z-0130-94(2)

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL of the request to amend Condition #1 of Z-0130-94 and APPROVAL of the request to amend Condition #6 of Z-0033-99(1) and Z-0130-94(2), subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letters from Margaret L. Lee, Ronald B. and Carroll J. Tucker, and John and Brenka K. Haslam
5. Submitted after final agenda – Opposition petition representing 59 properties
6. Submitted at City Council – Opposition petition representing 21 properties
7. Submitted at City Council – Support letters representing 12 properties
8. Submitted at City Council – Clark County Assessor Parcel Map submitted by Dan Shannon

**MOTION:**

**MACK – DENIED REVIEW OF CONDITION #1, thereby retaining the height limitation, and APPROVED THE REVIEW OF CONDITION #6 subject to Condition #2 of this application and revising Condition #1 of this application to read as follows:**

1. *Condition #6 of Z-0033-99(1) and Z-0130-94(2) shall be revised as follows:*  
**“Developer shall install an 8 foot valley gutter across Hickam Avenue from the southwest corner of the Hickam Avenue and Lake Tahoe intersection to the north side of Hickam Avenue. The developer shall also extend curb, gutter and paving on**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MOTION – Continued:**

**the north side of Hickam Avenue from the existing curb and gutter at the Hickam Avenue and Lake Tahoe intersection about 340 feet east to the east property line of parcel 138-01-702-013. An 8-foot valley gutter with curb returns must be provided at the crossing of the private cul-de-sac on the north side of Hickam Avenue. Appropriate paving transitions must be provided *as required* to meet design standards.”**

**– UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAN SHANNON, 6330 South Eastern, and LARRY POWERS, 4465 South Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MR. SHANNON indicated they recently found out that there was considerable opposition to two-story homes in this development. They have not had time to meet with the opposition; however, they have met with the inner circle of the Willowdale project itself, the majority of which are in favor of two-story homes and want the project to move forward. He requested that this item be postponed and placed on the next City Council agenda in order to give them time to meet with the opposition.

COUNCILMAN MACK expressed the desire to move forward with these proceedings as scheduled. He stated he had met with the neighbors and talked with the applicant and felt comfortable moving forward today.

MR. SHANNON explained that this project was originally designed as a single story only subdivision; however, the developer now wished to include two-story homes in the development. This area has had numerous problems with development over a six- to seven-year period. They researched the area within a three- to five-mile radius and found that the most successful projects are those that combine single- and two-story homes. The demand for single story is equal to the demand for two-story. A majority vote within the subdivision was in favor of quality two-story homes. The price range of their homes is \$350,000 to \$400,000, which will increase the property values in the neighborhood. Their two-story home designs in other areas have been very successful, and these designs will be incorporated into the design of Willowdale Estates. Also planned in the design is a new concept of 1,000 to 1,200 square foot penthouse suites with walk-in closets, 7' x 10' showers, two showerheads, and extensive tile work. This project should be very successful with this design.

MR. SHANNON went on to point out that the houses are no more than 28.5 feet in height. The single-story homes will be 20 to 22 feet high; so, the two-story homes will be only about four or five feet higher. The two-story concept will allow for a 65-foot backyard, which is larger than a single-story backyard.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. SHANNON pointed out that the residents of the San Miguel subdivision to the east are opposing two-story homes because they feel their views would be blocked. He noted, however, that there are a considerable number of existing trees on San Miguel currently blocking views. In addition, the owners of some of the two-story homes on Lake Tahoe are objecting to the construction of two-story homes in Willowdale because they feel their view of the mountains would be blocked. In MR. SHANNON'S opinion, it is not possible for the proposed two-story homes to block any homeowner's view of the mountains. He demonstrated that a single-story home would have less plane sight than a two-story by six to eight feet. Also, there will be 20 to 40 feet between each two-story house, which will allow for a better view of the mountains.

MR. SHANNON further pointed out that San Miguel residents expressed concern that the two-story homes would devalue their property. The majority of the San Miguel homes appear to be in the \$200,000 to \$250,000 price range, with some being as low as \$50,000 to \$65,000. The Willowdale project would increase all property values in the area with the blend of single and two-story homes. The only change being requested for this development is the inclusion of two-story homes, with the character of the area being maintained. This area has been stale for five to ten years because the neighbors have consistently fought new development. In addition, this zip code has shown a two to four percent increase in property values, whereas just outside the area a blended single and two-story subdivision with half-acre lots had a considerably higher increase in values.

MR. SHANNON addressed the concern regarding windows in the two-story homes facing toward the back of neighboring houses. Installing obscure glass and minimizing the number of windows facing toward another house have alleviated this problem. He felt most of the concerns and potential problems expressed by the neighbors had been alleviated and that this project would benefit the neighborhood.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that much of the area involved in this project is the Northwest Area Residents Association (NARA) whose citizens are very active and are acquainted with housing developments and the various conditions placed on them. He has worked closely with the community regarding this project and its related conditions. The neighborhood is very committed to making sure the conditions are met. He noted that he recently met with the developer and appreciated their efforts in saving this development because it had gone into bankruptcy. However, COUNCILMAN MACK explained that he had advised the developer to work with the neighbors, both interior and adjacent, and obtain their approval for the project. Until then, he could not support the developer's request for two-story homes. However, he would support the condition related to drainage.

GARRY HAYES, attorney for the homeowners, advised that there were a number of people in the audience waiting to address this issue. He suggested that the Council ask everyone opposed to two-story homes to raise their hands and indicate their opposition for the record.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN counted 14 people opposed to the project and 2 in favor.

COUNCILMAN MACK felt everyone was in favor of the project and the correct question should have been who is in favor of two-story homes.

ATTORNEY HAYES stated he appreciated the staff's recommendation for denial. The single-story condition was an important part of the rezoning and an important reason why many people built in this development. The waiver of the single-story condition would not improve or benefit the development. MR. HAYES requested that the waiver of the single-story condition be denied.

MAYOR GOODMAN took a moment to recognize the Boy Scouts of Troop 375 sitting in the audience. He stated the Council enjoyed having them at the meetings.

MURRAY ROSENBLUM, 3944 Edgemoor Way, indicated his desire to purchase a two-story home in the Willowdale development. The location was ideal for his family and in close proximity to his business.

SAM DUNHAM, 3471 West Oquendo Road, stated he resides in Willowdale Park and acknowledged that the development has had a lot of problems. He was the first resident of Willowdale and has worked through and helped solve many of these problems. He would like New Horizon to finish the development, but he does not want two-story homes built. His view would be blocked, and this is not what he bought into or expected. It is a quality of life issue. He would like the development to remain single-story only.

LESLIE STRINGHAM, Willowdale resident, stated that she was not opposed to two-story homes. She has lived in Willowdale for approximately a year and a half. She is in the real estate business and knows the values of the two-story homes in the area. Research she has conducted has shown that two-story homes are selling more and faster than single-story homes. Some of her current clients are on waiting lists to purchase two-story homes. Currently, she has seven pre-sale contracts pending for individuals wanting to purchase two-story homes in Willowdale. The backyards of the single-story homes are too small and back up against the neighbors too closely. In addition, two-story homes would not block views any more than single-story homes.

MS. STRINGHAM advised that when the developer met with the Willowdale residents the majority were not opposed to two-story homes. She did not understand the neighbors' opposition to two-story homes. Single-story homes will not increase property values but will hurt them instead.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MINUTES – Continued:**

JAY GRANT, 4012 Tyler William Lane, noted that the individuals who spoke in favor of two-story homes were either developers or real estate agents and were just interested in making money. He lives in Willowdale and is not worried about the two-story houses blocking his view but is worried about the view from the two-story homes to his house. The completed dirt work is already five feet higher than his community, so the 28.5-foot-tall building turns into 33 to 34 feet. The neighborhood will look like a canyon. When he purchased his home in San Miguel, he researched the building requirements in the area and was aware of the two-story home restriction, which is one of the reasons he purchased there.

DORIS BOCA, Rancho San Miguel resident, stated she is the president of the homeowners association. The issue concerning the two-story homes is not that they are not beautiful or have large lots; it is simply that the neighbors do not want two-story houses built. She purchased her home knowing it was located in a single-story community, which is difficult to find in Las Vegas. She is a realtor and is very concerned with her community. She believes there is a much greater demand for single-story housing and that two-story houses sell much faster only because there are about three times more of them than one story homes in the entire Las Vegas Valley. She indicated that the homeowners association obtained signatures from approximately 100 residents adamantly opposed to two-story homes. The association wanted the development to be completed but wanted the restriction to single-story houses to remain in place. At MAYOR GOODMAN'S request, MS. BOCA submitted the petition to the City Clerk.

MIKE JOHNSON, 4101 Villa Flora Street, indicated he purchased his home on the concept that the community was single story on one-third acre lots. The only thing that has been accomplished is that their homeowners association has been torn apart by controversy because MR. SHANNON only wants to make money for his company.

NICK PUNNETT, 5161 Hickam Avenue, concurred with the prior speakers' comments and pointed out that there should be about 90 protests on record, which he was not sure had been represented. MAYOR GOODMAN advised that the protests were submitted to the City Clerk.

REBECCA GROFT, 4121 Tyler William Lane, Rancho San Miguel, remarked that the first photograph shown to the Council was the backyard of her home. That picture misrepresented her property in that her landscaping does not block her view, and she has a clear view of everything. She and her husband searched specifically for a single-story development for over a year. They had previously lived in a two-story home and did not want to repeat the experience of the neighbors' children shouting down into her yard at their daughter.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MINUTES – Continued:**

PAUL VONRUEDEN, President of the Northwest Area Residents Association (NARA), indicated he spent a lot of time looking specifically for a completely single-story, large lot community. His realtor conducted a search in various areas of the City and found approximately 12 to 14 houses in standing inventory. There is not an abundance of single-story communities, and they are in very high demand.

MR. VONRUEDEN advised that, as President of NARA, he has received numerous e-mails and telephone calls and has had many conversations relative to this project. He has found no one in support. He is of the opinion that some people are in favor of the project because the development is only half finished and they are concerned with its completion. The City Council, however, must ensure that the development remains consistent with the standards set out in the beginning.

LINDA WEST-MYERS, 5104 Cold River Avenue, stated that she resides within the circle of notification for this project. One point that had not been mentioned is this project's proximity to the North Las Vegas Airport and the new parallel runways that feature student pilots who are frequently flying both above and below FAA flight path recommendations. She could envision the student pilots' landing gear hanging from the satellite dishes of the two-story homes.

MS. WEST-MYERS expressed her appreciation to COUNCILMAN MACK and the City Planning and Public Works staff for their hard work on this project.

MIKE MALONE, member of NARA, stated he has lived in the area for approximately 17 years. He commented that the major battles did not start with Willowdale but with San Miguel, and NARA was able to place limits on those homes. He indicated there are a multitude of people in the audience today who live in San Miguel and are happy with their single-story homes. NARA fought two-story homes in Willowdale before and was successful. They relented on a couple of minor issues such as gated communities and smaller lots but have been consistent in their objections to two-story homes.

ED GOBEL, 3017 Quiet Breeze Court, President of the Council of Nevada Veterans, CEO of Gobel Veterans Center Museum and registered lobbyist, expressed concern about freedoms being taken away from people. Matters are fast tracked, and the Planning Commission is bypassed without the consent of both the citizens and the developer. Nothing should be fast tracked beyond the Planning Commission until the citizens have been consulted. Given the history of this situation and many others, developers should be required to post a performance bond to ensure they live up to the conditions placed on their projects.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. GOBEL invited everyone to the Lowden's Veterans Center Museum on July 27 at 2:00 p.m. to commemorate the 50<sup>th</sup> anniversary of the truce of the Korean War

MIKE HENDRICKS, RSGT Development, indicated RSGT purchased four homes in the Willowdale development that had been sitting for a year and a half. Their intention is to renovate the homes and sell them. RSGT is opposed to the plan for two-story homes.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated he could not find that this item had gone before the Planning Commission. ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, clarified for the record that there is no requirement to go back to the Planning Commission in situations where reviews of condition have been requested and those conditions have been imposed by the City Council. Mr. FARLOW then asked if the item had ever gone before the Planning Commission to which MAYOR GOODMAN replied it initially went before the Commission in 2000.

MR. SHANNON responded that a possible compromise had been worked out which he thought would be agreeable to everyone, including the San Miguel subdivision residents, and would control the majority of the sites and views. The two-story homes that could possibly block views would either be placed at the rear of the development or replaced with single-story homes. To the east, the view of Sunrise Mountain has already been blocked, and there are no Las Vegas views of any kind to the south.

MR. POWERS indicated in closing that they had not had enough time to meet with all of the neighbors nor had they attempted to fast track this project or circumvent any of the processes involved. After they were awarded the project, they only had time to reach a compromise with the local neighbors. They also did not have a chance to meet with Delta Engineering, the civil engineer of record, to explain the concerns regarding the view blockage with a two-story as opposed to a single-story house. It was his opinion that a two-story home would provide a better view of the mountains than would a single-story house.

MR. SHANNON advised he was in possession of letters from ten people in favor of the project. At MAYOR GOODMAN'S request, the letters were submitted to the City Clerk.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that staff wished to add some language to the conditions of this application. DAVID GUERRA, Public Works Department, read into the record the revision to Condition 1.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated he had heard all of the testimony from the residents in the area and was proud to see this development move forward. However, to maintain consistency with the single-story development adjacent to Willowdale, he was unable to support this request.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 91 – ROC-2503

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(1:39 – 2:17)

**4-1007**

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Condition #6 of Z-0033-99(1) and Z-0130-94(2) shall be revised as follows:  
“Developer shall install an 8 foot valley gutter across Hickam Avenue from the southwest corner of the site at Lake Tahoe and Hickam to the north side of Hickam Avenue. The developer shall also be required to extend curb, gutter and paving on the north side of Hickam from the existing curb and gutter at the Lake Tahoe intersection about 340 feet east to the east property line of parcel 13801-702-013. An 8-foot valley gutter with curb returns is also required at the crossing at the private cul-de-sac on the north side of Hickam. Appropriate paving transitions must be provided at the intersection to meet City of Las Vegas design standards.”
2. Conformance to all other conditions of Z-0130-94, Z-0130-94(2) and Z-0033-99(1).

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2301 - SMOKE RANCH BUSINESS PARK, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review, a Reduction in the amount of Required Perimeter and Parking Lot Landscaping, and Waivers of the Commercial Development Standards FOR A PROPOSED 22,125 SQUARE-FOOT OFFICE DEVELOPMENT CONSISTING OF FOUR SINGLE-STORY BUILDINGS on 2.63 acres adjacent to the north side of Smoke Ranch Road, approximately 1,000 feet west of Tenaya Way (APN: a portion of 138-15-410-028), C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zone, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM HOLLENBECK, 7225 South Bermuda Road, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He respectfully requested approval and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:17 – 2:18)

**4-2492**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 92 – SDR-2301

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
4. The landscape plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect minimum 24-inch box trees planted a maximum of 20 feet on-center and a minimum of four five-gallon shrubs for each tree within provided planters, unless a waiver is granted.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19A.12.050.
10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 92 – SDR-2301

**CONDITIONS:**

11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services.
14. The submitted Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be accepted by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
15. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z68-85 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

MINOR MODIFICATION TO THE MONTECITO TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **MOD-2315 - MONTECITO COMPANIES ON BEHALF OF SILVESTRI AND MARTIN FAMILY TRUST** - Request for a Minor Modification to the Montecito Town Center Development Agreement TO ADD 10.08 ACRES TO THE OVERALL PLAN AND TO CHANGE SECTION 4.1 TO INCREASE SQUARE FOOTAGES AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS ACCORDINGLY, located adjacent to the southwest corner of Deer Springs Way and Riley Street (APN: 125-20-701-002), T-C (Town Center) Zone [MS-TC (Main Street Mixed Use – Town Center) land use designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

4

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 71

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to amended conditions as follows:

1. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the City Council at a Public Hearing *prior to issuance of building permits.*
2. Conformance to the Montecito Development Agreement or Town Center Development Standards Manual, as appropriate. *This amendment shall be executed pursuant to 12.10 of the Development agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Montecito Town Center, LLC.*
3. *If not constructed with the Grand Montecito Parkway Special Improvement District (SID #1502) replace the existing 12 inch sewer line with an 18 inch sewer line crossing the Beltway from said point of connection to where such sewer main ties into the existing thirty inch (30") sewer main located on the south side of the I-215 Beltway. The design shall be at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer prior to City approval of sewer construction plans.*

– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business interest with one of the partners in the Montecito Companies and BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 93 – MOD-2315

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN opened the Public Hearing and declared his abstention.

ATTORNEY JENNIFER LAZOVICH, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant. She thanked staff and the Planning Commission for their recommendations of approval. She mentioned that Montecito is a 193-acre Master Development Agreement in the Town Center area. This modification requests the addition of ten acres into the development agreement so that it can be developed in accordance with agreed upon standards.

EDMOND COOPER, 6501 Columbia Falls Court, Timberlake, commented that he retired four years ago and moved to Timberlake from southern California. He indicated that his property would be affected by this modification because his back fence is aligned at the old Durango Drive and the Beltway. This places his property very close to the apartment complex.

COUNCILMAN REESE clarified with DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT that the application before the Council today was strictly for the purpose of adding ten acres to the development. Further, approval of the apartment complex would be considered at a later meeting and may or may not be approved.

MR. COOPER objected to the addition of the ten acres because it would allow for more apartment units on the property.

COUNCILMAN MACK clarified that this acreage must be added in order for the project to conform to the Master Development Agreement. If the developer purchased this property to be brought into the Development Agreement, the developer must go through this process. It has nothing to do with the apartment complex.

MARIA ZELDA VAUGHT, 8232 Fawn Heather Court, Timberlake, asked if an increase in square footage and residential units were also being requested as part of this application. MAYOR PRO TEM REESE replied it was his understanding that the ten-acre addition is the only request before the Council at this time.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, explained there would be an increase in the percentage of number of units that could be allowed in the overall Montecito area with the addition of ten acres to the Montecito Town Center Agreement.

MS. VAUGHT stated that her home was built in the fourth phase of Timberlake, so she has lived there approximately five years. She lived there when the Town Center Standards were created. She has no problem with the Beltway or commercial development in the area; she was aware of those projects at the time she bought her home. What she has a problem with are the constant changes made by Montecito. She is firmly against this project. There were over 50 people at the Planning Commission meeting who were opposed to this project, and their attendance should be on record. Unfortunately they were unable to attend this Council meeting.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 93 – MOD-2315

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MS. VAUGHT pointed out that Planning Commissioner Galati expressed disappointment with the plan for this project and requested that the Council vote no.

CECILE ATKINSON, 8220 West Deer Springs Way, Timberlake, expressed opposition to the addition of 10.08 acres to this development. She indicated, however, that she appreciated the City Council listening to the residents of the various areas when they speak, unlike the Planning Commission. She has been disappointed with COUNCILMAN MACK and his failure to listen to the residents of Timberlake concerning what is being built around them, including this ten acres. Montecito does not deliver on any of their original plans, and she hopes things change soon. She also hopes that the Council will listen to the residents of Timberlake when this issue comes before them again on August 6 at 4:00 p.m., because the residents will be present at that meeting.

ATTORNEY LAZOVICH explained that the ten acres was being incorporated into this project to create a more cohesive 200-acre development.

In response to MS. ATKINSON'S comments, COUNCILMAN MACK stated he is proud of the job he does representing his entire Ward, specifically the Timberlake area. He heard that the Timberlake area had the highest resale market and the largest appreciation of any community in Ward 6. The entire Council has made a commitment to protect the Timberlake area. For example, the Durango alignment was moved and the Council worked with the community on the old Durango alignment; certain applications facing US 95 have been denied in consideration of Timberlake, and a park was built just to the north of Timberlake. Town Center developers, as well as the Montecito Companies, have worked closely with Timberlake and many public hearings have been held. The private Centennial Day School, similar to the Las Vegas Day School, was built adjacent to Timberlake. He believes that Timberlake is a diamond in the rough and will continue to flourish in this manner, similar to many of the older areas of Las Vegas such as Ten Oaks or the more urban cores of the City. He expressed pride in the work done in the Timberlake area by the City and his staff.

MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development, read revised Conditions 1 and 2 into the record.

DAVID GUERRA, Public Works Department, read revised Condition 3 into the record.

COUNCILMAN REESE verified with ATTORNEY LAZOVICH that the applicant concurred with the revised conditions.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:18 – 2:31)

**4-2549**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 93 – MOD-2315

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the City Council at a Public Hearing.
2. Conformance to the Montecito Development Agreement and the Town Center Development Standards Manual, as appropriate, except as amended by this request.

Public Works

3. Construct a second 12-inch sewer line crossing the Beltway from said point of connection to where such twelve inch (12") sewer main ties into the existing thirty inch (30") sewer main located on the south side of the I-215 Beltway. The design shall be at a location and depth acceptable to the City Engineer prior to City approval of sewer construction plans.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - MSP-2304 - LIGHT AMERICA ON BEHALF OF SARA K. HORGAN IRREVOCABLE TRUST, ET AL - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN EXISTING 27,000 SQUARE FOOT INDUSTRIAL BUILDING on 1.75 acres at 2310 Highland Drive (APN: 162-04-402-002), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

1

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-1-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if he could see an overview of this project and whether or not an elevation was included in the agenda back-up documentation. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that the project was currently displayed on the monitor and there was no back-up documentation included in the agenda. MR. FARLOW then indicated he knew what it was and thanked the Mayor.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:31 – 2:32)

**4-3224**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 94 – MSP-2304

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the sign and building elevations as submitted, except as amended by the conditions herein.
2. The Extended Flag Sign as detailed in the Master Sign Plan shall be used for on-premise advertising only.
3. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.

Public Works

4. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**MASTER SIGN PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - MSP-2323 - CLARK COUNTY CREDIT UNION** - Request for a Master Sign Plan FOR AN APPROVED FINANCIAL INSTITUTION located at 803 Shadow Lane (APN: 139-33-402-013 and 023), PD (Planned Development) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated for the record that he had \$100 in the credit union.

DAVID ELLERTSEN, 103 East Charleston, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He requested approval of the application based upon the same conditions that were enforced and approved by the Planning Commission.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:32 – 2:34)

**4-3304**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 95 – MSP-2323

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the sign and building elevations as submitted.
2. All signage shall have proper permits obtained through the Building and Safety Department.

Public Works

3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the related rezoning for the Las Vegas Medical District, Z-20-97, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
4. Signs shall not be located within existing or proposed public sewer or drainage easements, or interfere with Site Visibility Restriction Zones.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-2314 - PERMA-BILT HOMES ON BEHALF OF CORONOPARK, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL** - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located adjacent to the north side of Farm Road, approximately three hundred fifty feet east of Hualapai Way, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship with someone involved with this project, MONCRIEF not voting and BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with staff recommendations and respectfully requested approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:34 – 2:35)

**4-3356**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 96 – VAC-2314

**CONDITIONS:**

1. This Vacation Application shall be revised to retain all interest within any portion of the Farm Road alignment.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required for Site Development Review SDR-1705 may be used to fulfill this requirement.
3. All improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Relinquishment of Interest.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. Development of this site shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification Z-0014-02, SDR-1705, and all other subsequent site-related actions.
6. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Relinquishment is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-2317 - KIMBALL HILL HOMES ON BEHALF OF EL DURANGO, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL** - Petition to Vacate a portion of right-of-way and U.S. Government Patents generally located between Donald Nelson Avenue and Farm Road, east of Grand Canyon Drive, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – Motion carried with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship with someone involved with this project, MONCRIEF not voting and BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARAMBULA, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:35 – 2:36)

**4-3444**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 97 – VAC-2317

**CONDITIONS:**

1. Prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation, the legal description for the unnamed street shall be changed from sixty feet wide to thirty feet wide to correspond with actual dimensions.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required by ZON-1761 may be used to satisfy this condition.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest.
4. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required
5. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
6. The Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment are not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council or an Extension of Time is not granted by the Planning Director, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-2318 - RICHMOND AMERICAN HOMES ON BEHALF OF SOUTHWEST DESERT EQUITIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Petition to Vacate a portion of Alexander Road, Las Vegas Valley Water District Sewer and Road easements and U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located adjacent to the southwest corner of Alexander Road and Vegas Vista Trail, Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARAMBULA, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:36 – 2:37)

**4-3502**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 98 – VAC-2318

**CONDITIONS:**

1. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation or Order of Relinquishment of Interest.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required for Zoning Reclassification ZON-1911 may be used to fulfill this requirement.
3. Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. The Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that conditions requiring modification of public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
6. If the Order of Vacation and Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**VACATION - PUBLIC HEARING - VAC-2320 - PERMA-BILT HOMES ON BEHALF OF CORONOPARK, ET AL, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Petition to Vacate U.S. Government Patent Easements generally located adjacent to the southwest corner of Gilcrease Avenue and Grand Canyon Drive, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship with someone involved with this project and BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with staff recommendations and respectfully requested approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:37 – 2:38)

**4-3561**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 99 – VAC-2320

**CONDITIONS:**

1. This Petition of Relinquishment of Interest shall be amended to exclude the south 30 feet, including appropriate radii for a knuckle at the southwest corner, of Assessor's Parcel Number 125-18-201-002 and the appropriate area for a knuckle at the southwest corner of Assessor's Parcel Number 125-18-201-004.
2. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study or other related drainage information acceptable to the Flood Control Section must be submitted to and approved prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation for this application. Appropriate drainage easements shall be reserved if recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study. The Drainage Study required for the Valley Crest II East and West subdivisions may be used to fulfill this requirement.
3. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation.
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments.
5. Development of this site shall comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Valley Crest II East and West Tentative Maps and all other subsequent site-related actions.
6. The Order of Relinquishment of Interest shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that modifications to public improvements may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained.
7. If the Order of Relinquishment of Interest is not recorded within one (1) year after approval by the City Council and the Planning and Development Director does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2283 - CHURCH ROMAN CATHOLIC LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 130 PARKING SPACES WHERE 167 SPACES ARE REQUIRED AND TO ALLOW A FRONT SETBACK OF 12 FEET WHERE A 20 FOOT FRONT SETBACK IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED AND TO ALLOW FOR MONUMENT SIGNS WHICH EXCEED THE MAXIMUM AREA REQUIREMENTS FOR SUCH SIGNS in conjunction with a proposed Church/House of Worship at 220 North 14th Street (APN: 139-35-310-057, 058, 059, 060, 067, 068, 069, and 139-35-212-022, 053, and 054), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>2</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 8/6/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ERNIE FREGGIARO, 2480 East Tompkins Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant along with DAVID STRAIT, D. W. Strait Architecture, 101 West Brooks Avenue. MR. FREGGIARO clarified that the main reason staff recommended denial of this project was the applicant's inability to change the zoning on this specific property to C-V (Civic) due to an existing contract with adjacent property owners to increase the size of the project. New developments undertaken by the diocese are usually designated Civic. However, in this case, because of the timeline with the contract, the zoning designation will remain the same as it has been for 53 years. As a result, the church is requesting a Special Use Permit as well as variances.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 100 – VAR-2283

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. FREGGIARO indicated that the conditions of approval for this project were negotiated with staff and the Planning Commission a couple of weeks ago. So, the diocese is prepared to accept the conditions recommended for approval by the Planning Commission.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, commented that St. Bridget's Church has been a wonderful neighbor for many years. He asked if an agreement had been reached with the owner of the abutting property regarding vacation of the alley and the utilities.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, indicated the applicant would have to answer that question because his department had not been informed whether or not an agreement was reached.

MR. FREGGIARO indicated that until the City approves the vacations, he is unable to say that they have an agreement. The church has agreed to work with the adjacent property owner to provide utilities to his property and also to grant him access to the back of his property. But, those agreements cannot be put in place until there is a reason to do so.

MICHAEL O'SULLIVAN, 1653 Santa Anita Drive, indicated he attended this Council meeting to ensure he retained access to his property. He noted that the utility meters that serve his property are at the end of the block, and the lines do not run all the way through his property. Therefore, the water and gas lines that serve his property are private. As a result, they are not dealing with public easement rights, and he wants to ensure that his rights are protected. Otherwise, he totally supports this project.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated he had not been provided with a copy of the revised site plan and, therefore, did not know what revisions were made. He would like this item held in abeyance until he has an opportunity to meet with the applicant, review the plans and consider some of the concerns raised by staff.

MR. FREGGIARO requested that the items be placed on the Consent Agenda, with the approval of the Council. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that could not be done. MR. FREGGIARO indicated that this project had a timeline due to the agreement with the adjacent property owner, MR. JUNGERS, regarding their purchase of six fourplexes due to close by the 31<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the August date causes some consternation.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that, to be perfectly honest, he had not seen the applicant's first site plan and was seeing it for the first time today along with the public. Normal protocol dictates that an applicant meet with their Ward representative when proposing a project of this magnitude to allow him or her the opportunity to address any concerns. Therefore, these items will be held in abeyance for two weeks.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 100 – VAR-2283

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 100 [VAR-2283], Item 101 [SUP-2282], Item 102 [SDR-2280] and Item 103 [VAC-2337] was held under Item 100 [VAR-2283].

(2:38 – 2:45)

**4-3629/5-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-2283 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2282 - CHURCH ROMAN CATHOLIC LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A CHURCH/HOUSE OF WORSHIP (St. Bridget's Church) at 220 North 14th Street (APN: 139-35-310-057, 058, 059, 060, 067, 068, 069, and 139-35-212-022, 053, and 054), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**2**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 8/6/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ERNIE FREGGIARO, 2480 East Tompkins Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant along with DAVID STRAIT, D. W. Strait Architecture, 101 West Brooks Avenue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 100 [VAR-2283], Item 101 [SUP-2282], Item 102 [SDR-2280] and Item 103 [VAC-2337] was held under Item 100 [VAR-2283].

(2:38 – 2:45)

**4-3629/5-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO VAR-2283 AND SUP-2282 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2280 - CHURCH ROMAN CATHOLIC LAS VEGAS** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and Waivers of the Perimeter and Parking Lot Landscaping and Trash Enclosure Standards FOR A PROPOSED 25,753 SQUARE FOOT CHURCH COMPLEX (St. Bridget's Church) at 220 North 14th Street (APN: 139-35-310-057, 058, 059, 060, 067, 068, 069, and 139-35-212-022, 053, and 054), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**2****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 8/6/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ERNIE FREGGIARO, 2480 East Tompkins Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant along with DAVID STRAIT, D. W. Strait Architecture, 101 West Brooks Avenue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 100 [VAR-2283], Item 101 [SUP-2282], Item 102 [SDR-2280] and Item 103 [VAC-2337] was held under Item 100 [VAR-2283].

(2:38 – 2:45)

**4-3629/5-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

VACATION RELATED TO VAR-2283, SUP-2282 AND SDR-2280 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-2337 - CHURCH ROMAN CATHOLIC LAS VEGAS** - Petition of Vacation to Vacate portions of 14th Street, 15th Street, Ogden Avenue, and Stewart Avenue and a Public Alley generally located east of 14th Street, south of Stewart Avenue, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**2****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – ABEYANCE TO 8/6/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ERNIE FREGGIARO, 2480 East Tompkins Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant along with DAVID STRAIT, D. W. Strait Architecture, 101 West Brooks Avenue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 100 [VAR-2283], Item 101 [SUP-2282], Item 102 [SDR-2280] and Item 103 [VAC-2337] was held under Item 100 [VAR-2283].

(2:38 – 2:45)

**4-3629/5-1**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2447 - LARSEN FAMILY TRUST** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW 15 PARKING SPACES WHERE 26 SPACES ARE THE MINIMUM REQUIRED on 0.32 acres located on property at 410 and 416 South 7th Street (APN: 139-34-710-030 and 031), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) under Resolution of Intent to P-R (Professional Office and Parking), Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB LARSEN and KIM LARSEN appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:45 – 2:46)



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 104 – VAR-2447

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-2124) and Site Development Plan Review [SDR-2125].
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2292 - LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ON BEHALF OF JERMAC** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Variance TO ALLOW A PROPOSED 65-FOOT TALL OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN WHERE 40 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM HEIGHT PERMITTED on a portion of 14.10 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Vegas Drive and Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-22-803-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship with someone involved with Lamar Outdoor Advertising and BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He explained that a 40-foot sign exists on a currently undeveloped parcel between Lake Mead and Vegas Drive along US 95. The property owner is in the process of obtaining approval for an athletic club at this location. Because of the size of the athletic club, which will be 44-feet tall, Lamar will be forced to move its sign. One option was to move the sign to the south so that it would clear the athletic club building. Unfortunately, that would place Lamar in violation of separation requirements and obtaining a variance would not be possible. Another option was to move the sign to the north of the building. However, the sign would then be too close to the building causing the sign's south face to be completely blocked.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 105 – VAR-2292

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. NAFTZGER pointed out that the only viable option was to go vertical and raise the sign. The reason for the 65-foot height is to allow for a safe clearance over the athletic club building; nevertheless, it will overhang a little. The sign will also be eight feet further from the right of way. One of the concerns expressed by the Planning Commission was that the billboard would block the Courtyard sign to the north. However, MR. NAFTZGER demonstrated with a line of sight illustration that the Courtyard sign would not be blocked, although it would be very close. He requested approval of the variance.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that the whole idea is to raise the standard of billboard signs. Currently, there is a new standard, as evidenced by the signs at the Chelsea Outlet properties, which are absolutely beautiful. This is what he would like to see from now on. These signs generate a tremendous amount of revenue, and there is no reason in the world not to upgrade them. Another pole billboard sign is unacceptable.

COUNCILMAN REESE noted that the Chelsea signs might not be too safe when the wind blows. The Council needs to look at that issue also.

COUNCILMAN MACK clarified that the proposal is to come up with a new, aesthetically pleasing sign for this application as well as other signs erected by Lamar.

MR. NAFTZGER indicated that Lamar also wants attractive signs, but before they change their tried and true sign construction they want to make sure the signs will be safe. As their signs stand now, they can withstand 115 mile per hour winds. The jury is still out on the new signs. Lamar does not want to rush into anything; however, they now have an artist and an engineer working on new designs.

CAROL LeDUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, emphasized that the City needs to remove more of the ugly billboard signs because they are a blight. The County has tightened up on this issue, and the City is doing a good thing by repeatedly denying these sign applications. The signs are unsafe. The only possible benefit of the ugly things is maybe an ounce of shade on a hot day.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that the athletic club is currently under construction, and it was approved at a previous Council meeting. The Council wants to work with the leaseholders and the applicant regarding the sightliness and placement of the sign. In raising the sign to 65 feet, it is visibly more pleasing. Based on the line of sight illustration, he does not believe approval of this request will cause a chain reaction on similar requests in the area.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 105 – VAR-2292

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 105 [VAR-2292] and Item 106 [SUP-2291] was held under Item 106 [SUP-2291].

(2:46 – 2:55)

**5-226**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Special Use Permit (SUP-2291).
2. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO VAR-2292 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2291 - LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ON BEHALF OF JERMAC** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 65-FOOT TALL, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN on a portion of 14.10 acres adjacent to the northwest corner of Vegas Drive and Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-22-803-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amended Condition 6:

6. If the *remaining southern* existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council. *The existing northern sign must be removed prior to issuance of building permits for construction of the new sign.*  
– UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining due to a business relationship with someone involved with Lamar Outdoor Advertising and BROWN excused

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 106 – SUP-2291

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing open.

SCOTT NAFTZGER, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that there was some amended language for staff conditions. MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development, read the revisions to Condition 6 into the record. COUNCLMAN MACK verified with MR. NAFTZGER that these revisions were agreeable to the applicant.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: Additional discussion relating to Item 105 [VAR-2292] and Item 106 [SUP-2291] was held under Item 105 [VAR-2292].

(2:46 – 2:55)

**5-226**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for a Variance (VAR-2292) to allow the height of the proposed off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to exceed the 40-foot maximum height allowed by Title 19.14.
2. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.14 for an Off-Premise Sign use and other applicable sign requirements.
3. The Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed.
4. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. In addition, the property owner shall keep the property properly maintained at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.
5. Only one advertising sign is permitted per sign face.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 106 – SUP-2291

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. If the existing off-premise advertising sign structure is removed, this Special Use Permit shall be expunged and a new off-premise advertising sign structure shall not be erected in the same location unless: (1) a new Special Use Permit is approved for the new structure by the City Council, or (2) the location is in compliance with all applicable standards of Title 19 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code including, but not limited to, distance separation requirements, or (3) a Variance to the applicable standards of Title 19 has been approved for the new structure by the City Council.
7. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments shall be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2248 - WOODY'S CHICAGO STYLE ON BEHALF OF WEINGARTEN NOSTAT, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR OPEN AIR VENDING (Concession Stand) on a portion of 13.68 acres located at 4625 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 162-06-112-008), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:55 – 2:56)

**5-336**



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 107 – SUP-2248

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The vending cart shall be located as not to interfere with vehicular or pedestrian traffic.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2255 - A SECRET CLOSET ON BEHALF OF RAINBOW SAHARA CENTER #2, INC.** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR SECONDHAND SALES (Clothing, Accessories, and Jewelry) on 1.07 acres at 2206 South Rainbow Boulevard, (APN: 163-02-415-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 6/122/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 63

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he previously had a business relationship with JOSEPH SCALA, who owned property nearby. However, the relationship no longer exists and he will be voting on this item.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PAM CASE, the applicant, 8308 Woodland Prairie Drive, was present. She concurred with staff recommendations and respectfully requested approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:56 – 2:57)

**5-560**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 108 – SUP-2255

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for the secondhand dealer use.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. No outdoor display, sales or storage of any merchandise is permitted.
4. The use shall comply with the applicable requirements of Title 6 (Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations) of the Las Vegas Municipal Code.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2261 - GOOD DOGS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF MASONIC LODGE #32** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR OPEN AIR VENDING (HOT DOG CART) ON AN EXISTING PARKING LOT located at 213 and 215 South 3rd Street (APN:139-34-210-067 and 068), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that he is currently working on his third degree, but it will in no way affect his vote on this matter.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ARTHUR APPLE, 8045 Vista Twilight Drive, and JOE FORRIOLO, 4836 Whispering Springs Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant. They concurred with staff recommendations and respectfully requested approval.

ROY NELSON, 324 South Third Street, on behalf of himself and JACK LAYMAN, stated that they own property at this address and want to make sure this business is not mobile and will always be located at 213 and 215 South Third Street. MR. APPLE stated the business would be permanent at that location.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 109 – SUP-2261

**MINUTES – Continued:**

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:57 – 2:59)

**5-601**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The vending cart shall be located as not to interfere with vehicular or pedestrian traffic.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2273 - PRESTON AND LILLIAN SERRANO - Appeal filed by Vegas Auto Title Loans from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTO TITLE LOAN BUSINESS on 0.23 acres located at 3706 Vegas Drive (APN:139-19-812-008), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

**3****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

**0****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Preston and Lillian Serrano
5. Correspondence from Applicants' Project Manager with 17 support letters
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 60

**MOTION:****WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother owns a Super Pawn business. However, the businesses are different. An auto title loan company is governed by the State whereas a pawnshop is governed by City or County ordinance. This application will have no effect on Super Pawn, so he will vote on this item.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PRESTON SERRANO, the applicant, was present. He explained he had applied for the Special Use Permit to move his business license from its existing location. He currently owns an auto title loan company. He does not process check cashing or payday loans but handles auto title loans only.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 110 – SUP-2273

**MINUTES – Continued:**

DUAINE HARRIS, 5225 Longridge Avenue, informed the Council that he is the engineer of record and worked with the Planning staff in order to obtain approval for this application.

ROCHEL CARTER, a customer of MR. SERRANO, indicated he had been doing business with the applicants for approximately one year. He remarked that they are very good folks and asked the Council to consider approving the transfer of their license.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, stated that these types of people are predatory lenders who have no morals. Congress is currently attempting to regulate this type of business. He asked the Council to make a deal. For every business of this kind opened in the older neighborhoods require by statute that one be opened in Summerlin. These businesses prey on the people that can least afford it with rollover loans and exorbitant interest rates. This is terrible.

In response to MR. FARLOW'S comments, COUNCILMAN MACK stated for the record that his family has been in the pawn business for five generations, and at times they have been viewed as predatory lenders. He believes there is a niche in any community for non-bankable customers. As a pawnbroker, he is proud to say that he offers a service to the community that is lacking and that helps people in all walks of life who are seeking quick term loans. Auto title and payday lenders, as well as check cashing businesses, should not all be grouped into the same caveat. There are always a few bad apples that shed a bad light on an entire business.

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, asked to see the front view of this property again, and expressed the opinion that the merits of this application should be argued. The Planning Commission denied this application with a 7-0 vote. At .23 acres, this property is too small and too constrained for this type of use. This is an existing home. There is insufficient parking space and the driveway off of Vegas Drive is too narrow. In addition, there is no room for landscaping on the property. This use will do nothing to upgrade the neighborhood. MR. CONTRERAS urged the Council to follow the Planning Commission's recommendation and deny this application.

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, asked where the repossessed cars were going to be stored, because those cars will have to be resold. She noted that a car for sale was pictured on this property, and it is not large enough to be turned into a car lot.

MR. SERRANO responded to MS. TURNER'S question and comments by stating that the repossessed cars will not be stored on this property but on a separate lot. He had granted the owner of the car permission to put the car up for sale on his property during construction only. There had been a problem with homeless people staying on the property, especially at night, and he thought the activity would keep them away.

In response to MR. CONTRERAS' concern, MR. HARRIS explained that landscaping was to be provided in the front of the property as a condition of the Planning staff.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 110 – SUP-2273

**MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY inquired as to how many cars were sitting on this lot as of today, to which MR. SERRANO replied there were none. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated he watched this item go through the Planning Commission and went to view the site. His concern is the over-saturation of the area with this type of business and the fact that this project does nothing to upgrade the neighborhood.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 110 [SUP-2273] and Item 111 [SDR-2341] was held under Item 110 [SUP-2273].

(2:59 – 3:11)

**5-668**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2273 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2341 - PRESTON AND LILLIAN SERRANO** - Appeal filed by Vegas Auto Title Loans from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of required perimeter landscaping FOR AN 800 SQUARE FOOT AUTO TITLE LOAN BUSINESS on 0.23 acres located at 3706 Vegas Drive (APN: 139-19-812-008), G-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****3****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Preston and Lillian Serrano
5. Correspondence from Applicants' Project Manager with 17 support letters
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 61

**MOTION:****WEEKLY – DENIED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother owns a Super Pawn business. However, the businesses are different. An auto title loan company is governed by the State whereas a pawnshop is governed by City or County ordinance. This application will have no effect on Super Pawn, so he will vote on this item.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

PRESTON SERRANO, the applicant, was present.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 111 – SDR-2341

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 110 [SUP-2273] and Item 111 [SDR-2341] was held under Item 110 [SUP-2273].

(2:59 – 3:11)

**5-668**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2288 - S.H. CORNER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF PECCOLE 1982 TRUST - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION, BEER AND WINE) on 1.1 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Sahara Avenue and Hualapai Drive (APN: portion of 163-06-416-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****4****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kathi McCain
5. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 53

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DANIEL LOERA, 5525 Polaris Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He advised he had reviewed all staff conditions for this application and would like to request that the Council reverse Condition 8 addressing the sale of individual containers of beer and wine. The applicant is a family-owned business that has been operating locally for over 25 years. Currently, more than 40 stores are operating without this restriction. Although they understand the intention of the restriction, they have an exemplary record with regard to problems of single serving sales or vagrants. They are one of the only storeowners in the Valley that provides 24-hour security in problem areas. He respectfully requested that this condition not be placed on this application. It is not warranted and would place the applicant in a non-competitive situation.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 112 – SUP-2288

**MINUTES – Continued:**

CLYDE SPEITZE appeared on behalf of the Peccole Trust.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked the applicant to show the elevation once again and stated that this building is absolutely beautiful. He would like to ask Rebel Oil why this type of project has not been built on his side of town. There is a corner at 21<sup>st</sup> and Fremont that could use something like this.

JIM DAVIES, Peccole Ranch, indicated he did not have an objection but wished to clarify the limits or the size of the parcel of land with regard to how much of the future Wal Mart site it would occupy. MR. SPEITZE replied that it is less than 200 feet. It extends from Sahara to the bridge and is square from there. So, the parcel consists of approximately one acre at the corner of Sahara and Hualapai. MR. DAVIES asked whether or not there was land between this parcel and north to the Stoneridge condominiums. MR. SPEITZE responded that almost two-thirds of the property is still vacant. MR. DAVIES indicated he asked the question because Wal Mart intended to utilize a portion of that land for its 18-wheeler traffic. He would like this project expanded all the way to Stoneridge in order to prevent the truck traffic.

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, wondered whether or not the property owner planned to shut off the fountain for this project, as well as all of their fountains throughout Clark County, because they gush water all over the neighborhoods.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD noted that staff Condition 8 was a fairly consistent condition included in all similar types of applications throughout her Ward and would not be waived.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 112 [SUP-2288], Item 113 [SUP-2289], and Item 114 [SDR-2286] was held under Item 112 [SUP-2288].

(3:11 – 3:18)

**5-1084**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for Liquor Establishment (Off-Premise Consumption).
2. Pursuant to Title 19.18.010 (F) compliance with conditions, if required, as a result of review and comment of the Project of Regional Significance (environmental impact assessment) application reviewed by Clark County.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 112 – SUP-2288

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

3. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0017-90) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2286).
4. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
6. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
7. The sale of alcoholic beverages shall be limited to the sale of beer and wine only.
8. The sale of individual containers of any size of beer, wine coolers or screw cap wine is prohibited. All such products shall remain in their original configurations as shipped by the manufacturer. Further, no repackaging of containers into groups smaller than the original shipping container size shall be permitted.
9. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SUP-2288 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2289 - S.H. CORNER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF PECCOLE 1982 TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR GASOLINE SALES on 1.1 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Sahara Avenue and Hualapai Drive (APN: portion of 163-06-416-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****4****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kathi McCain under Item #112
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Dale Melgaard
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 54

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DANIEL LOERA, 5525 Polaris Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CLYDE SPEITZE appeared on behalf of the Peccole Trust.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 113 – SUP-2289

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 112 [SUP-2288], Item 113 [SUP-2289], and Item 114 [SDR-2286] was held under Item 112 [SUP-2288].

(3:11 – 3:18)

**5-1084**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. Pursuant to Title 19.18.010 (F) compliance with conditions, if required, as a result of review and comment of the Project of Regional Significance (environmental impact assessment) application reviewed by Clark County.
3. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.040 for a service station use.
4. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (Z-0017-90) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2286).
5. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2288 AND SUP-2289 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2286 - S.H. CORNER, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF PECCOLE 1982 TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Commercial Development Standards FOR A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE AND GAS STATION (Rebel Convenience Store) on 1.1 acres adjacent to the northeast corner of Sahara Avenue and Hualapai Drive (APN: portion of 163-06-416-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>5</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Kathi McCain under Item #112
5. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 55

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DANIEL LOERA, 5525 Polaris Avenue, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

CLYDE SPEITZE appeared on behalf of the Peccole Trust.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 114 – SDR-2286

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 112 [SUP-2288], Item 113 [SUP-2289], and Item 114 [SDR-2286] was held under Item 112 [SUP-2288].

(3:11 – 3:18)

**5-1084**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
3. The site plan shall be revised and approved by Planning and Development Department staff, prior to the time application is made for a building permit, to reflect one loading space per Title 19.10.020.
4. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
5. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
7. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
8. All new utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.
9. Any property line wall, if constructed, shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 114 – SDR-2286

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

10. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

12. A Petition of Vacation to vacate the existing public drainage easement, which crosses this site, shall be submitted and acted upon by City Council prior to the issuance of any building permits for building or other permanent structures overlying the area to be vacated. If said vacation is not approved, a new Site Development Plan shall be submitted acknowledging the existing
13. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
14. This site shall connect to the City of Las Vegas sanitary sewer main located in Sahara Avenue.
15. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 114 – SDR-2286

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

16. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

17. Provide pedestrian walkway easements for all sidewalks not located within existing public right-of-way prior to occupancy of this site.
18. Landscape and maintain all unimproved rights-of-way on Sahara Avenue and Hualapai Way adjacent to this site.
19. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Sahara Avenue and Hualapai Way public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 114 – SDR-2286

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

20. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer. The Drainage Study required by Parcel Map PMP-1902 may be used to satisfy this condition.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2290 - LAMAR OUTDOOR ADVERTISING ON BEHALF OF MER-CAR CORPORATION** - Appeal filed by Lamar Outdoor Advertising from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED 40-FOOT TALL, 14-FOOT BY 48-FOOT OFF-PREMISE ADVERTISING (BILLBOARD) SIGN at 4510 East Charleston Boulevard (APN: 140-32-401-006), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Lamar Advertising Company
5. Protest letters from Arnold Schlesinger
6. Submitted after final agenda – Letter requesting abeyance from Lamar Advertising Company
7. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 65

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2293 - CASPIAN RESTAURANT AND MARKET ON BEHALF OF TJP/WEINER FAMILY TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTAURANT SERVICE BAR on a portion of 1.36 acres located at 2101 South Decatur Boulevard, Suites 19 and 20 (APN:163-01-708-003), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 1 (Moncrief). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MONCRIEF – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ADAM CHOUKHACHIAN, the applicant, 2101 South Decatur, was present. He indicated that he was requesting the Special Use Permit in order to serve beer and wine at his restaurant, which is currently open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:18 – 3:20)

**5-1329**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 116 – SUP-2293

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for the Restaurant Service Bar use.
2. This Special Use Permit shall expire one year from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2322 - THE CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT ON BEHALF OF REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A PUBLIC SCHOOL, PRIMARY on 14.4 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Pecos Road and Stewart Avenue (APN: 139-36-710-019), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

**1****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

There was no discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2298 - MIMI'S CAFE ON BEHALF OF DURANGO 215 LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SUPPER CLUB on 2.12 acres located adjacent to the east side of Durango Drive, approximately five hundred feet (500') south of Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-20-710-002, portion), T-C (Town Center) Zone [UC-TC (Urban Center Mixed-Use – Town Center) land use designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**1**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 56

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GARY CONGDON, Lee & Sakahara Architects, 6280 South Valley View, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated he was looking forward to Mimi's Café moving into the Montecito Town Center.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 118 – SUP-2298

**MINUTES – Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 118 [SUP-2298] and Item 119 [SDR-2297] was held under Item 118 [SUP-2298].

(3:20 – 3:22)

**5-1392**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.050 for a Supper Club use and to the relevant provisions of the Montecito Town Center Development Agreement.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2297).
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
5. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
6. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO SUP-2298 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2297 - MIMI'S CAFE ON BEHALF OF DURANGO 215 LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Town Center Development Standards FOR A PROPOSED 6,944 SQUARE FOOT RESTAURANT (Mimi's Cafe) on 2.12 acres located adjacent to the east side of Durango Drive, approximately five hundred feet (500') south of Deer Springs Way (APN: 125-20-710-002, portion), T-C (Town Center) Zone [UC-TC (Urban Center Mixed-Use – Town Center) land use designation], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>1</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 57

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GARY CONGDON, Lee & Sakahara Architects, 6280 South Valley View, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 118 [SUP-2298] and Item 119 [SDR-2297] was held under Item 118 [SUP-2298].

(3:20 – 3:22)

**5-1392**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 119 – SDR-2297

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Special Use Permit (SUP-2298) for a Supper Club approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The developer shall provide a calculation to the satisfaction of the Planning and Development Department, prior to issuance of any building permits, showing how all required on-site parking will be satisfied for this proposed pad site development in the context of all the other approved/anticipated uses on the overall site, to ensure that there is adequate on-site parking for the development, in accordance with the Montecito Town Center Development Agreement, the Town Center Development Standards Manual and Title 19.
5. A revised landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
6. Pursuant to the Town Center Development Standards, a Master Sign Plan is required for on-premise signs in the Urban Center Mixed-Use - Town Center (UC-TC) land use category, and such signs must be approved by the Centennial Hills Town Center Architectural Review Committee (CHARC).
7. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
8. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
9. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
10. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Title 19.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 119 – SDR-2297

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

11. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
12. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

14. If not already constructed by the Master Developer, construct the full width of the proposed driveways accessing this site and construct appropriate on-site paving to allow for two-way vehicular traffic concurrent with development of this site.
15. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
16. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
17. An update to the previously approved Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any permits, submittal of civil improvement drawings, or further subdivision of this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended in the approved drainage plan/study.
18. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-76-98(24), the Montecito Lifestyle Center (Commercial Subdivision) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2486 - WFM NEVADA, INC. ON BEHALF OF CROSSROADS COMMONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY -** Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT (OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION, BEER AND WINE) FOR A GROCERY STORE (WHOLE FOODS MARKET) at 8855 West Charleston Boulevard (APN: 163-05-101-002), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald). (NOTE: This item to be heard in conjunction with Morning Session Item #60). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**L.B. McDONALD – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

RICHARD YOUNGBLOOD, YWS Architects, 5808 West Spring Mountain Road, and HERNANDO FAIRFOOT, 2320 Chapman Hill Drive, appeared on behalf of Whole Foods Market. They concurred with staff recommendations.

In response to MAYOR GOODMAN'S inquiries, MR. FAIRFOOT explained that Whole Foods Market is a natural, organic retail store similar to the Wild Oats operation. The chain is based in the southern Pacific region, with stores in southern California also. The grocery store planned for Las Vegas is approximately 40,000 square feet in size, with their smallest store being approximately 25,000 square feet. The store will have a delicatessen and will carry meat, seafood and produce.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 120 – SUP-2486

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN requested that MR. FAIRFOOT call his office and make an appointment to discuss the possibility of locating a Whole Foods Market in the downtown area.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 120 [SUP-2486] and Item 60 [Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale License – WFM Nevada, Inc. dba Whole Foods Market] was held under Item 120.

(3:22 – 3:25)

**5-1463**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
2. Conformance to all previous conditions imposed for site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-2184 - CLIFF'S EDGE, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT -**  
Request for a Rezoning from: U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] to: PD (Planned Development) Zone on 704 acres adjacent to the south side of Grand Teton Drive, between Hualapai Way and Puli Road (APN: Multiple),  
**PROPOSED USE: MASTER PLANNED COMMUNITY, Ward 6 (Mack).** The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend **APPROVAL**

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend **APPROVAL**, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff recommendations.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, referred to Map 2 of the interlocal joint use which showed a multi-use trail on the south side of Grand Teton and asked if everything was okay with the trail. MARGO WHEELER, Deputy Director, Planning and Development, replied that the trail is referenced on page 4 of the staff report.

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated he was looking forward to the Cliffs Edge development and will be proud to represent this area.

No one appeared in opposition.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 121 – ZON-2184

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:25 – 3:27)

**5-1585**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.
2. Revisions to the Cliff's Edge Master Development Plan and Design Guidelines document to address plan implementation through a new Chapter 3.0 and to revise Chapter 9.3 of the Plan to address issues related to the Design Review Committee, both as previously provided in draft form to the Planning and Development Department.

Public Works

3. Upon development of the individual parcels of this site, appropriate rights-of-way will be required according to the approved development guidelines for Cliff's Edge and to City of Las Vegas standards.
4. Obtain City Council approval to amend the City's Master Plan of Streets and Highways as recommended by the approved Traffic Access Analysis Report prior to the submittal of any Final Maps on this site as required by the Department of Public Works. A Tentative Map proposing to incorporate the public streets proposed to be amended may be submitted prior to formal approval of the amendment to the Master Plan of Streets and Highways by the City Council, however, if the required amendment cannot be approved for any reason; such Tentative Maps shall be rendered NULL AND VOID and a new Tentative Map showing the appropriate rights-of-way shall be submitted. The proposed amendment to the Master Plan of Streets and Highways must be approved by the City Council prior to the recordation of a Final Map overlying any conflicting public rights-of-way on this site.
5. The construction of half-street, or full-width if applicable, improvements including appropriate overpaving (if legally able) of all streets proposed as part of the Master Development Plan must be constructed by the Master Developer as proposed within the Master Traffic Impact Analysis. A phasing plan detailing the timing of the construction of all required improvements must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of grading, building or off-site improvements or the recordation of any map dividing this project site, whichever may occur first; all required off-site improvements shall be constructed in accordance with such approved plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 121 – ZON-2184

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Provide paved legal access meeting current standards to each phase of this project site from an existing paved public street prior to occupancy of any units within each phase. Where this site is required to construct public street improvements on two sides of a not a part piece or is needed for pavement continuity, widened paving shall be constructed adjacent to the not a part piece unless specifically allowed otherwise as determined by the City Engineer. Additional paved access routes, including the development of temporary, access road improvements on Grand Teton Drive and/or Hualapai Way with additional traffic lanes may be required if recommended by the approved Traffic Access Analysis Report or if requested by the Traffic Engineer based on construction traffic patterns and/or the impact of this rezoning site's traffic on the surrounding neighborhood.

6. A plan detailing the extension and oversizing of the public sanitary sewer system to bring public sanitary sewer to this site to a location, along an alignment, and to an acceptable depth must be accepted by the Collection Systems Planning section of the Department of Public Works prior to issuance of any permits. The sanitary sewer plan shall identify infrastructure networks, design alignments and depth requirements. The sanitary sewer plan shall propose the dedication of right-of-way or easements and construction of such required improvements by the Master Developer. The sanitary sewer plan shall also include a Phasing Plan identifying appropriate threshold points (such as a certain number of units built or building within certain geographical locations) that will trigger when improvements are to be implemented and completed. All required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system shall be provided to the City prior to City approval of sewer construction plans, or the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Additional public sanitary sewer lines may be required to be extended to this project site; such requirements shall be dictated by the City Engineer; phased development and compliance with this condition is acceptable if a plan is accepted by the City Engineer.
7. A Master Traffic Impact Analysis for the overall site covered by this Rezoning Application must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Master Traffic Impact Analysis shall identify roadway infrastructure networks, geometric design, alignments and Traffic Signalization requirements. The Master Plan shall propose the dedication of right-of-way and construction of such required improvements, including a Phasing Plan identifying appropriate threshold points (such as a certain number of units built or building within certain geographical locations) that will trigger when improvements are to be implemented and completed. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 121 – ZON-2184

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Compliance with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact

Analysis is required prior to occupancy of this site. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

8. A Master Drainage Plan and Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The Master Developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
9. Site-specific Drainage Plans and Traffic Access Analysis Reports may be required as each internal site develops as required by the Department of Public Works. The City reserves the right to impose additional site-specific conditions with future site development actions.
10. Homeowner's Associations or other private maintenance organizations shall be established to maintain all multi-use trails, perimeter walls, landscaping, and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
11. The final design and conditions for each section within this development shall be determined at the time of approval of site specific actions associated to each site.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-2252 - PERMA-BILT HOMES ON BEHALF OF L M LAS VEGAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] TO: R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone on 10.13 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Severance Lane and Tee Pee Lane (APN: 125-18-801-012, 017 through 020), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He concurred with staff recommendations and respectfully requested approval.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 122 [ZON-2252], Item 123 [VAR-2254] and Item 124 [SUP-2253] was held under Item 122 [ZON-2252].

(3:27 – 3:29)

**5-1666**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 122 – ZON-2252

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. The eastern boundary of the site must be developed in accordance with the Town Center (80-foot) Residential Collector street section standard.

Public Works

3. A Petition of Vacation to vacate all easements in conflict with the proposed realigned streets and lots must be submitted prior to or concurrent with a Tentative Map for this site. Said Order of Vacation must be recorded prior to the recordation of a Final Map for this site.
4. Coordinate with the City Surveyor to determine whether a merger and resubdivision map will be necessary prior to the recordation of a Final Map for this site.
5. Dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a total of 40 feet adjacent to this site for Tee Pee Lane, 50 feet for Elkhorn Road, and a 25-foot radius at the northwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Tee Pee Lane. Also dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a 65-foot radius for the proposed roundabout at Tee Pee Lane and Severance Lane.
6. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving, if legally able on Severance Lane, Tee Pee Lane, Elkhorn Road, and any remaining portions of the Roundabout at Tee Pee Lane and Severance Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Improvements on Tee Pee Lane shall be constructed per Town Center Development Standards. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
7. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 122 – ZON-2252

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

8. A Master Streetlight plan of public street lights for the overall subdivision shall be approved prior to the submittal of any construction drawings for this site.
9. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

VARIANCE RELATED TO ZON-2252 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAR-2254 - PERMA-BILT HOMES ON BEHALF OF L M LAS VEGAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL** - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW PROPOSED FRONT YARD SETBACKS OF 18 FEET TO THE FACE OF A FRONT LOADING GARAGE AND 14 FEET TO A SIDE LOADING GARAGE WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM REQUIRED WITHIN A PROPOSED 41-LOT SINGLE FAMILY DEVELOPMENT on 12.56 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Severance Lane and Tee Pee Lane (APN: 125-18-801-012, 017 through 020; 125-18-810-038 through 045; and 125-18-811-012 through 014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 123 – VAR-2254

**MINUTES -- Continued:**

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 122 [ZON-2252], Item 123 [VAR-2254] and Item 124 [SUP-2253] was held under Item 122 [ZON-2252].

(3:27 – 3:29)

**5-1666**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
2. The front setbacks for this development shall be 20 feet in the front yard from the edge of the private street, except that the distance from the face of the garage door to the back of sidewalk (or curb, if no sidewalk is proposed) shall be a minimum distance of 18 feet, or 14 feet for a side loaded garage, provided that in all cases there is sufficient room to prevent a car in the driveway from encroaching into the vehicular or pedestrian travel corridor.

Public Works

3. The distance from the face of the garage door to the back of sidewalk (or curb, if no sidewalk is proposed) shall be a minimum distance of 18 feet for front loaded garages to prevent a car in the driveway from encroaching into the vehicular or pedestrian travel corridor.
4. Site Development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for ZON-2252 and all other subsequent site-related actions.



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-2252 AND VAR-2254 - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2253 - PERMA-BILT HOMES ON BEHALF OF L M LAS VEGAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR PRIVATE STREETS WITHIN A PROPOSED 41-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY DEVELOPMENT on 12.56 acres adjacent to the southwest corner of Severance Lane and Tee Pee Lane (APN: 125-18-801-012, 017 through 020; 125-18-810-038 through 045; and 125-18-811-012 through 014), U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone and U (Undeveloped) Zone [L (Low Density Residential) General Plan Designation], PROPOSED: R-1 (Single-Family Residential) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JEFFREY ARMSTRONG, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 122 [ZON-2252], Item 123 [VAR-2254] and Item 124 [SUP-2253] was held under Item 122 [ZON-2252].

(3:27 – 3:29)

**5-1666**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 124 – SUP-2253

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.

The private streets shall be subject to Title 19.04.050(B).

3. The private streets shall be subject to the design standards specified in Title 18 (Las Vegas Subdivision Ordinance).
4. The City shall be permitted to examine the street to determine its compliance with approved standards.
5. All development shall conform to the Conditions of Approval for the Tentative Map and all other subsequent site related actions.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

7. Gated entry drives, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
8. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
9. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
10. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2252 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

**REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - ZON-2277 - RAPID CASH ON BEHALF OF ADVANCE GROUP INC.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone on 0.29 acres adjacent to the west side of Lamb Boulevard, approximately 200 feet north of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 140-31-803-005), PROPOSED USES: AUTO TITLE LOAN AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SPECIFIED, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****2****City Council Meeting****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****0****City Council Meeting****RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, Steven Mack, owns all of the Super Pawns in town. He also owns Auto Pawn Title; however, it is different than an auto title loan business because it is regulated by the State as opposed to City or County ordinance. This application will have no effect on Super Pawn, so he will be voting.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TRINA FIERRO, 2754 South Highland Drive, Political Science Consulting Group, along with MIKE McKNIGHT, a principal of Rapid Cash, appeared on behalf of the applicant. MS. FIERRO expressed appreciation for staff's recommendation of approval for the zone change as well as the Special Use Permit and site design. She exhibited the building elevations and noted that the design is stucco glass and parapet. The building is white, 2,000 square feet in size, with attractive band signage and parapet roofing to garden screen any fixture on the roof. Good access to the building has been provided. For example, there are two driveways and the number of parking spaces conforms to code. However, to provide adequate parking, it was necessary to

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 125 – ZON-2277

**MINUTES – Continued:**

cut back on the landscape buffer on the north side abutting the 7-Eleven store. Also, there are no trees within the parking lot because it is felt that most of their customers will utilize the drive-through service. However, there are 24-foot trees along the south and west boundaries. To the rear of the building, access has been provided to the alleyway since there are apartment buildings on the south and west sides. The design provides for circulation around the building to allow access to a drive-through window. This is a user-friendly facility.

MS. FIERRO commented that the applicants are regional, in five states, and come to Las Vegas as good community servants. They sponsor little league teams and want to become very involved with the local community. She respectfully requested Council approval of this application. The project will fit well within the commercial limits of the Charleston and Lamb intersection and will be a good addition to the neighborhood.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, remarked that, like human beings, beauty is on the inside. This type of business can be dressed up but is rotten on the inside. He apologized to COUNCILMAN MACK if he took offense to his previous comments regarding auto title loan companies; he does not associate them with pawnshops. In fact, he occasionally purchases merchandise in pawnshops. They are well regulated and are run by friendly, nice people. If the applicant wants to locate this business in his neighborhood, they should also be required to locate one in Summerlin.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD stated for the record that an auto title loan company is not a permitted use in Summerlin, per agreement.

STEPHEN “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMPSEY indicated he has lived in Las Vegas for 25 to 30 years, and in the past people were arrested for loan sharking. An individual can owe more money for this type of loan than what used to be owed to the loan sharks. Interest in the amount of \$52 can be charged for a \$300 loan. Is this because campaign contributions are made to some of the Council members? MAYOR GOODMAN replied he did not think the record would reflect that and asked MR. McKNIGHT if he had made a contribution to his campaign. MR. McKNIGHT responded that he had not.

MR. DEMPSEY went on to say that the City Council should defend people according to the Constitution of the United States against all enemies, foreign and domestic, and should regulate these types of businesses. His constituents believe these businesses are potentially domestic enemies, and the Council has been charged with the responsibility of protecting them against those enemies. Also, the public should be made aware of all fees to be charged by this business. Government should operate in the sunlight.

DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT stated for the record that the State, not the City, regulates these types of businesses and the interest charged on loans.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 125 – ZON-2277

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MS. FIERRO pointed out that her firm checked out Rapid Cash before acquiring them as clients to make sure they did not break any legs.

MIKE McKNIGHT, 9781 Magnolia, Riverside, California, informed the Council that his company is regulated by the State of Nevada and holds two separate licenses, one for check cashing and the other for lending as an auto title loan company. His firm also belongs to a national association that adheres to a best practices policy, and his company abides by these policies. This type of business is needed in the communities.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked MR. McKNIGHT to explain the rates charged and how they are regulated. MR. McKNIGHT explained that a \$100 loan would require payment of \$115 by check. That check would then be deposited on the next pay date. So, their fee is \$15 and is collected on that next payday, which can be in either two weeks or 30 days. In comparison, if a person wrote a non-sufficient funds check, the bank would charge \$22 to \$30 and the funds would not be covered. People use this type of loan as a short-term stopgap when something unexpected occurs. It is not meant to be a long-term financial solution. There are competitors in the business who have given the business a black eye. His company would like to raise the standard and service the public in a fair and equitable manner.

COUNCILMAN REESE remarked that he takes offense to the manner in which some of the applicants have been treated. This is a reputable organization that has been in business a long time. The City has spent many dollars cleaning up this particular parcel, and there is a lot of traffic on Lamb Boulevard. Yet, the applicant is prepared to purchase this property and invest private money in it. A building will be constructed and landscaping installed. He trusts this business will benefit the citizens who live in his Ward, and he looks forward to working with the applicant. However, he did see one picture that showed cars for sale on the property. As a result, he requested that a condition be added prohibiting the sale of cars at this location. COUNCILMAN REESE verified with MR. McKNIGHT that the applicant would agree to this condition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 125 [ZON-2277], Item 126 [SUP-2279] and Item 127 [SDR-2278] was held under Item 125 [ZON-2252].

(3:29 – 3:46)

**5-1755**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 125 – ZON-2277

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with development of this site.
4. Maintain the existing sewer easement running east/west through this site. No buildings or structures may be erected over the existing sewer easement.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 125 – ZON-2277

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

6. Meet with the Flood Control Section of the Department of Public Works to resolve any drainage related issues with this site, prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits or submittal of any construction drawings, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways as recommended by Flood Control.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO ZON-2277 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2279 - RAPID CASH ON BEHALF OF ADVANCE GROUP, INC.** - Appeal filed by Political Science, Inc. from the Denial by the Planning Commission on a request for a Special Use Permit FOR AN AUTO TITLE LOAN AND A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SPECIFIED (Check Cashing & Auto Title Loan Center) on 0.29 acres adjacent to the west side of Lamb Boulevard, approximately 200 feet north of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 140-31-803-005), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

2

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

Planning Commission Mtg.  
City Council Meeting

0

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Political Science, Inc.
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Austa Mauer

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED** subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- *No automobile sales will be permitted on site.*
- **UNANIMOUS** with **BROWN** excused

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, Steven Mack, owns all of the Super Pawns in town. He also owns Auto Pawn Title; however, it is different than an auto title loan business because it is regulated by the State as opposed to City or County ordinance. This application will have no effect on Super Pawn, so he will be voting.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TRINA FIERRO, 2754 South Highland, Political Science Consulting Group, along with MIKE McKNIGHT, a principal of Rapid Cash, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 126 – SUP-2279

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 125 [ZON-2277], Item 126 [SUP-2279] and Item 127 [SDR-2278] was held under Item 125 [ZON-2252].

(3:29 – 3:46)

**5-1755**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to all Minimum Requirements under Title 19.04.040 for Auto Title Loan and Financial Institution, Specified uses.
2. Approval of and conformance to the Conditions of Approval for Rezoning (ZON-2277) and Site Development Plan Review (SDR-2278).
3. This Special Use Permit shall expire two years from the date of final approval, unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2277 AND SUP-2279 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2278 - RAPID CASH ON BEHALF OF ADVANCE GROUP, INC.** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Reduction in the amount of required Perimeter Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 2,000 SQUARE-FOOT AUTO TITLE LOAN AND FINANCIAL INSTITUTION, SPECIFIED (CHECK CASHING AND AUTO TITLE LOAN CENTER) on 0.29 acres adjacent to the west side of Lamb Boulevard, approximately 200 feet north of Charleston Boulevard (APN: 140-31-803-005), R-3 (Medium Density Residential) Zone, [PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone], Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>2</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Appeal letter from Political Science, Inc. under Item #126
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Austa Mauer

**MOTION:**

**REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that his brother, Steven Mack, owns all of the Super Pawns in town. He also owns Auto Pawn Title; however, it is different than an auto title loan business because it is regulated by the State as opposed to City or County ordinance. This application will have no effect on Super Pawn, so he will be voting.

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TRINA FIERRO, 2754 South Highland, Political Science Consulting Group, along with MIKE McKNIGHT, a principal of Rapid Cash, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

There was no discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 127 – SDR-2278

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 125 [ZON-2277], Item 126 [SUP-2279] and Item 127 [SDR-2278] was held under Item 125 [ZON-2252].

(3:29 – 3:46)

**5-1755**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-2277) to a C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zoning District approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. Prior to the submittal of a building permit, the applicant shall meet with Planning and Development Department staff to develop a comprehensive address plan for the subject site. A copy of the approved address plan shall be submitted with any future building permit applications related to the site.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission or City Council and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. [Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.]
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
8. Parking lot lighting standards shall be no more than 20 feet in height and shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights. Wallpack lighting shall utilize 'shoe-box' fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed building. Non-residential property lighting shall be directed away from residential property or screened, and shall not create fugitive lighting on adjacent properties.
9. All utility boxes exceeding 27 cubic feet in size shall meet the standards of Municipal Code Section 19.12.050.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 127 – SDR-2278

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

10. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.

Public Works

13. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2277 and all other subsequent site-related actions.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **ZON-2312** - **WHITNEY INVESTMENTS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) Zone TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) Zone on 3.36 acres adjacent to the north side of Dorrell Lane, approximately 1,040 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-24-503-001), PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARAMBULA, 401 North Buffalo Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant along with ROBERT ORWELL, the property owner/developer, and concurred with staff conditions. MR. ARAMBULA stated he was under the impression that verbiage would be added to Condition 4 regarding single-and two-story homes. He indicated he had not seen the revision and requested clarification.

ROBERT GENZER, Director, Planning and Development, indicated that Condition 4 pertained to the Site Development Plan Review. It established the standards for this particular development and indicated the minimum lot size and that the building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, which is a code requirement. MAYOR GOODMAN verified with MR. ARAMBULA that this condition was acceptable to the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 128 – ZON-2312

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 128 [ZON-2312] and Item 129 [SDR-2313] was held under Item 128 [ZON-2312].

(3:46 – 3:49)

**5-2470**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review application (SDR-2313) approved by the Planning Commission and City Council prior to issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity for the site.

Public Works

3. Construct half-street improvements, including appropriate transitional paving, on Donald Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct all incomplete half-street improvements, including appropriate transitional paving on Dorell Lane adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Improvements on Dorrell Lane may be constructed to match the rural improvements constructed adjacent to the Paradise Meadows subdivision immediately west of this site if all underground infrastructure for the future installation of streetlights are installed and the street light standards with luminaries are provided to the City of Las Vegas or monies in the amount of the cost of such street light standards with luminaries is contributed prior to the issuance of permits. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
4. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the submittal of any construction drawings. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements for bus turnouts adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 for exclusive right turn lanes and dual left turn lanes shall be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 128 – ZON-2312

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

5. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, submittal of any construction drawings or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to construct such neighborhood or local drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the City of Las Vegas Neighborhood Drainage Studies and approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site. In lieu of constructing improvements, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements, the amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Map subdividing this site, whichever may occur first, if allowed by the City Engineer.
6. The final layout of the subdivision shall be determined at the time of approval of the Tentative Map.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO ZON-2312 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2313 - WHITNEY INVESTMENTS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 10-LOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 3.36 acres adjacent to the north side of Dorrell Lane, approximately 1,040 feet west of Decatur Boulevard (APN: 125-24-503-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, [PROPOSED: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units Per Acre) Zone], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**MACK – APPROVED** subject to conditions and amended Condition 4 as follows:

4. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum lot size of 10,800 square feet, minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less. *All structures adjacent to the east property line shall be limited to single-story with a maximum height of 28 feet.*

– UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARAMBULA, 401 North Buffalo, appeared on behalf of the applicant.

COUNCILMAN MACK read the revision to Condition 4 into the record and verified with MR. ARAMBULA that the amended condition was acceptable to the applicant.

No one appeared in opposition.



CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 129 – SDR-2313

**MINUTES – Continued:**

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All discussion relating to Item 128 [ZON-2312] and Item 129 [SDR-2313] was held under Item 128 [ZON-2312].

(3:46 – 3:49)

**5-2470**

**CONDITIONS:**

Planning and Development

1. A Rezoning (ZON-2312) to a R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre) Zoning District shall be approved by the City Council.
2. This Site Development Plan Review shall expire two years from date of final approval unless it is exercised or an Extension of Time is granted by the City Council.
3. All development shall be in conformance with the site plan, landscape plan and building elevations, except as amended by conditions herein.
4. The standards for this development shall include the following: minimum lot size of 10,800 square feet, minimum distance between buildings of 10 feet, and building height shall not exceed two stories or 35 feet, whichever is less.
5. The setbacks for this development shall be a minimum of 18 feet to the front of the garage, 10 feet to the front of the house and 15 feet to the side-loaded garage as measured from back of sidewalk or from back of curb if no sidewalk is provided, 5 feet on the side, 10 feet on the corner side, and 15 feet in the rear.
6. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit.
7. Air conditioning units shall not be mounted on rooftops.
8. Any property line wall shall be a decorative block wall, with at least 20 percent contrasting materials. Wall heights shall be measured from the side of the fence with the least vertical exposure above the finished grade, unless otherwise stipulated.
9. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 129 – SDR-2313

**CONDITIONS – Continued:**

Public Works

10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
11. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access and on site circulation prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated entries, if proposed, shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222A.
12. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
13. A Homeowner's Association shall be established to maintain all perimeter walls, private roadways, landscaping and common areas created with this development. All landscaping shall be situated and maintained so as to not create sight visibility obstructions for vehicular traffic at all development access drives and abutting street intersections.
14. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Zoning Reclassification ZON-2312 and all other subsequent site-related actions.
15. The approval of all Public Works related improvements shown on this Site Development Plan Review is in concept only. Specific design and construction details relating to size, type and/or alignment of public improvements, including but not limited to street, sewer and drainage improvements, shall be resolved prior to submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first. No deviations from adopted City Standards shall be allowed unless specific written approval for such is received from the City Engineer prior to the submittal of a Tentative Map or construction drawings, whichever may occur first.

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**TABLED ITEM** - GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0007-02 - COUNTY OF CLARK (PARKS AND RECREATION)** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: ML (Medium Low Density Residential) and SC (Service Commercial) TO: PF (Public Facility) on approximately 16.62 acres located adjacent to the southwest corner of Martin L. King Boulevard and Carey Avenue (APN: 139-21-102-011 and 012), Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

**MOTION:**

**WEEKLY – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

SANDY NORSEKOG, Clark County, appeared on behalf of the applicant and respectfully requested approval of this application. She indicated there were other members of County staff in the audience to answer questions and provide clarification.

HENRY THORNS, 1100 Bull Run Avenue, North Las Vegas, indicated the only problem he sees with this application is that there is a lot of gang activity in this area. Something is definitely needed, and if the area can be cleaned up he will support this application. He commented that he has not seen any plans for the area and, therefore, is not aware of what is planned. He is looking out for the kids.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that this application addressed rezoning only and that plans are not involved.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 130 – GPA-0007-02

**MINUTES – Continued:**

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated he would like to find out what the County has planned for potential uses of this property. MS. NORSEKOG explained that this property is located in COMMISSIONER YVONNE ATKINSON-GATES' district. It is COMMISSIONER GATES' vision to construct an early childhood development center, which is already in design. In the future, as additional funding becomes available, her vision includes a recreation center, a pool and open park space. As plans move forward, and particularly subsequent to the final approval of the zone change application, the County has every intention of submitting design reviews, continuing meetings and discussions with the neighbors to obtain full input, and progressing with the development in that manner.

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, reminded the Council that concerns had been voiced at a previous meeting with regard to the applicant not including the commercial buildings on the corner of Martin Luther King and Carey. He is not opposed to the project as a whole, but he is opposed to the County not going the extra yard and acquiring those buildings. There is a lot of violence in that area, and he believes it is crucial that the County acquire those buildings in order to make the area safe. This project could be very positive.

In response to MR. CONTRERAS' remarks, MS. NORSEKOG commented that the Commissioner shares everyone's concern regarding the violence in this area. In fact, it is one of her motivations for improving this particular location. Because of continuing discussions regarding the businesses located at Martin Luther King and Carey, the Commissioner instructed her staff to make purchase offers to the property owners. The offers were made twice but were not accepted either time. Inasmuch as it is not the County's practice to seize land by condemnation, there is no alternative but to build around those properties until such time as they become available. The issue of security certainly must be taken into account in terms of design at the boundary between those two lots and what is proposed for the overall development.

MR. CONTRERAS was of the opinion that special circumstances existed, and the County must take the buildings. He advised that more transactions occur outside Rudy's Auto Repair than inside the building. He has witnessed and photographed men sitting behind the building drinking alcohol as well as hypodermic needles lying on the ground. The neighbors do not need this kind of activity in the area. All the neighbors want is a positive project in order to ensure the safety of their children. The Council has helped raise the bar in West Las Vegas with regard to the construction of parks, and the County needs to be held just as accountable as a private developer. MR. CONTRERAS requested that the two lots be seized before the County comes back before the Council with a site development plan. The Council must do what is right. He is asking for a Class A, quality project.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 130 – GPA-0007-02

**MINUTES – Continued:**

GENE COLLINS, 1101 Eleanor Avenue, voiced the same concern as MR. THORNS regarding the project plans. He was not aware of any community meetings being held or any information being distributed within the community as to what is planned for this property. In addition, the potential fiscal impact of the proposed project has been overlooked. When social programs are put in place, where does the money come from? There has to be a fiscal impact. The buildings at Martin Luther King and Carey must be purchased and torn down. What good is the new project if the area is still blighted. There have been marches and demonstrations regarding crime in this area. He stated he is not opposed to the project but there have been issues raised that need to be addressed. He would like the planned park to look like the beautiful park located on Buffalo. This is the type of project he would like to see in Ward 5. He asked that the developer construct a project the neighborhood can be proud of.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, indicated it appeared that the County would like to purchase the property at the corner of Martin Luther King and Carey, but the property owners did not intend to sell until the improvements were made and their property values increased.

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, indicated that their children are dying by leaps and bounds. She asked that the Council not plan for them but with them. She commented that COMMISSIONER GATES should talk to the community to learn what they want. The children in the area will not utilize the planned park because their parents will take them to the park on Buffalo. Before her community is enhanced, the blight on Martin Luther King and Carey must be removed. The money allocated for the park can be allocated to another project in the community. She cannot support this project. COMMISSIONER GATES is not looking out for the best interests of the community. She currently lives in North Las Vegas and, obviously, wants to win points prior to election time. The Commissioner only visits the community about once a year. If this park is built, MS. TURNER stated her intention to ensure that every commissioner and councilperson receives a wreath for every child lost there.

CRAIG SOLIS, 201 North Decatur, remarked that the key word here is safety for their children in addition to security. The City Council and County Commissioners should join with the police force and make a commitment to stop crime in Las Vegas, no matter what. Everyone should be able to wait for a bus or go to a day care center without fear for their safety.

CAROL LeDUC, 7575 Rome Boulevard, noted that while great improvements have been made in parks, the budget to place security personnel at these parks has not been expanded. Before a project such as this is constructed in this area, there should be at least one security person with a bulletproof vest to maintain order. Currently, security personnel alternate between parks as opposed to a full-time security guard at each park. She is opposed to the construction of more parks until more security staff is made available.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 130 – GPA-0007-02

**MINUTES – Continued:**

In response to MR. COLLINS' comments, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated a public hearing had been held on this item initially. Further, he had held this item in abeyance twice.

In response to the remarks made by MS. TURNER, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that the negative perception of this area would continue as long as comments like hers were made.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that crime exists throughout the entire city. The Council should not be expected to stop progress in any community because of a small blighted area. It is the Council's job to step in and do something. He stated he was appalled with some of the people who spoke and acted as if he would allow a project like this to be built without thought or understanding that blight exists. He also lives in West Las Vegas and, like everyone else, has the neighborhood's best interests at heart. He believes this project should move forward to enhance the neighborhood and provide the children with a positive community and outlook. At this time, only an amendment to the General Plan is under consideration, not a design change or day care center. Those projects will be considered at a later date, and public hearings and neighborhood meetings will be held.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MS. NORSKOG to relay a message to COMMISSIONER GATES to the effect that he would not have a problem, in this particular case, with the County seizing private property for public good. MS. NORSKOG stated that she would relay the message and thanked the Council for its consideration of this item.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:49 – 4:08)

**5-2642**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SDR-2208 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 397,244 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT AND WAIVERS OF THE TOWN CENTER DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS ARE REQUESTED TO ELIMINATE THE LANDSCAPING WITHIN THE ANN ROAD MEDIAN, TO ALLOW THE HARDSCAPE AREAS WITHIN THE AMENITY ZONE EVERY 600 FEET ALONG CENTENNIAL CENTER BOULEVARD WHERE 105 FEET IS THE MAXIMUM PERMITTED, TO ALLOW PARKING ADJACENT TO THE RIGHT-OF-WAY, TO ALLOW 50% SCREENING OF THE MECHANICAL INSTALLATIONS ALONG CENTENNIAL CENTER BOULEVARD WHERE 100% IS REQUIRED, TO ALLOW LESS THEN 60% OF THE BUILDINGS TO THE BUILD-TO-LINE, AND TO ALLOW DOORWAYS TO BE MORE THEN EVERY 50 FEET ALONG A BUILDING FAÇADE THAT FRONTS A STREET OR PLAZA AREA on 36.5 acres adjacent to the northeast and northwest corner of Ann Road and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-27-401-009 and 010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>94</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw
5. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 18

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Planning and Development Department  
Item 131 – SDR-2208

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**4-1**



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2209 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR OUTDOOR SALES in conjunction with a commercial development adjacent to the northeast and northwest corner of Ann Road and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-27-401-009 and 010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 19

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR:** ROBERT S. GENZER ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208 AND SUP-2209 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2211 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTAURANT WITH DRIVE THROUGH adjacent to the west side of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 500 feet north of Ann Road (APN: 125-27-401-010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Protest letter from Clarence and Ethel Robinson
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley under Item #132
7. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 20

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209 AND SUP-2211 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2212 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A RESTAURANT WITH DRIVE THROUGH adjacent to the east side of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 300 feet north of Ann Road (APN: 125-27-401-010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**63**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley under Item #132
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 21

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211 AND SUP-2212 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2214** - **CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SUPPER CLUB adjacent to the east side of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 880 feet north of Ann Road (APN: 125-27-401-010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>63</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley under Item #132
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 22

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212 AND SUP-2214 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2215 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SUPPER CLUB adjacent to the east side of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 860 feet north of Ann Road (APN: 125-27-401-010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>63</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley under Item #132
6. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 23

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212, SUP-2214 AND SUP-2215 - PUBLIC HEARING - SUP-2216 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SUPPER CLUB adjacent to the west side of Centennial Center Boulevard, approximately 775 feet north of Ann Road (APN: 125-27-401-009), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**63**

**City Council Meeting**

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**

**0**

**City Council Meeting**

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Protest letter from Clarence and Ethel Robinson
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley under Item #132
7. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 24

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR:**      **ROBERT S. GENZER**      ☐ **CONSENT**      ☒ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212, SUP-2214, SUP-2215 AND SUP-2216 - PUBLIC HEARING - **SUP-2217 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A SUPPER CLUB adjacent to the northeast corner of Ann Road and Centennial Center Boulevard (APN: 125-27-401-010), T-C (Town Center) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>63</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

<b>Planning Commission Mtg.</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>City Council Meeting</b>	

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Protest letter from Clarence and Ethel Robinson
6. Submitted after final agenda – Protest letter from Donn W. Helley under Item #132
7. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 25

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - VACATION RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212, SUP-2214, SUP-2215, SUP-2216 AND SUP-2217 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-2204 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to vacate a roadway easement for Buffalo Drive, north of Ann Road, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>61</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 26

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)



**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - VACATION RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212, SUP-2214, SUP-2215, SUP-2216, SUP-2217 AND VAC-2204 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-2205 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to vacate portions of Centennial Center Boulevard, north of Ann Road, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>61</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 27

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**

**DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER**

☐

**CONSENT**

☒

**DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**NOT TO BE HEARD BEFORE 4:00 P.M.** - VACATION RELATED TO SDR-2208, SUP-2209, SUP-2211, SUP-2212, SUP-2214, SUP-2215, SUP-2216, SUP-2217, VAC-2204 AND VAC-2205 - PUBLIC HEARING - **VAC-2206 - CENTENNIAL GATEWAY, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to vacate a portion of Desert Breeze Avenue, between Buffalo Drive and Centennial Center Boulevard, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

**PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>61</b>

**APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:**

**Planning Commission Mtg.**  
**City Council Meeting**

<b>0</b>

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

**BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:**

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Letter requesting abeyance received from Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw under Item #131
5. Back up brought forward from the 6/12/2003 Planning Commission meeting Item 28

**MOTION:**

**REESE – Motion to HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 115 [SUP-2290], Item 131 [SDR-2208], Item 132 [SUP-2209], Item 133 [SUP-2211], Item 134 [SUP-2212], Item 135 [SUP-2214], Item 136 [SUP-2215], Item 137 [SUP-2216], Item 138 [SUP-2217], Item 139 [VAC-2204], Item 140 [VAC-2205], and Item 141 [VAC-2206] to 8/6/2003, and Item 117 [SUP-2322] to 9/3/2003 – UNANIMOUS with BROWN excused**

**MINUTES:**

Under Item 84, the following discussion took place.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, asked if public hearings would be held on the abeyance items, specifically Items 131 through 141. MAYOR GOODMAN replied that public hearings would not be held because the applicant requested abeyance of these items, and the City had granted permission that they not be heard rather than require anyone to remain at the meeting until 4:00 p.m.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:11)

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS** ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS, CENTENNIAL HILLS ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW COMMITTEE AND DANGEROUS BUILDING OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

DB 2301 Mallard Street, DB 704 Sparks Drive, DB 4037 Woodgreen Drive, DB 6440 Casada Way, DB 4319 Garden Place, SUP-2382, SUP-2403, SUP-2404, SUP-2405, SUP-2407, SUP-2414, SUP-2416, SUP-2481, SUP-2199, SUP-2400, SUP-2467, SUP-2471, SUP-2478, SUP-2452, SUP-2455, SUP-2485, ROC-2343, VAC-2336, VAC-2406, VAC-2410, VAC-2412, VAC-2420, VAC-2427, VAC-2432, VAR-2366, VAR-2370, VAR-2371, VAR-2391, VAR-2392, VAR-2413, VAR-2417, VAR-2419, VAR-2468, and VAR-2490 – 8/6/2003 Agenda

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

---

**DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**

**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

**SUBJECT:**

**ADDENDUM:**

**None.**

**AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**  
**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: JULY 16, 2003**

**CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:**

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

**MINUTES:**

PATRICIA BROWN, 507 Prescott, indicated she had a problem with the Housing Authority and a personal problem with the manner in which MAYOR GOODMAN scolded her while she was in the audience speaking with the person behind her. She was not aware she was being disruptive. She expressed concern that the Housing Authority commissioners were not really working. She was involved in a community tour with some of the board members, and one of the members was afraid to get off of the bus and walk through the neighborhood. It is not possible for an individual to represent an area he or she is afraid of. And, one commissioner is under federal investigation. She asked the Council to re-evaluate and appoint some good, strong commissioners. MS. BROWN went on to say that the Board had been approached twice regarding security personnel oppressing residents and acting outside their line of authority. The Housing Authority did not correct the situation and, as a result, a young man died. The Board does not listen to the citizens. Instead, it wants to throw them out of meetings and evict them from their apartments. She was of the opinion that Housing Authority commissioners should be elected rather than appointed.

(4:08 – 4:11)

**6-40**

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19<sup>th</sup> Street, indicated he wished to discuss three items. First, the Rapid Cash business had not been brought to his Ward to bring money in but to take money out to Riverside, California. Second, City crews installed sodium streetlights on 19<sup>th</sup> Street, and they are terrible. They light up the neighborhood like day, and the residents are not getting much sleep. Third, he asked DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT whether or not Sunday laws were still in effect. At one time, building construction, trash pick up, and car sales were not allowed on Sunday. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT advised that car sales were still prohibited on Sundays, and sometimes conditions were placed on certain car lots. MR. FARLOW indicated he recently contacted Code Enforcement and asked for help because heavy equipment could be heard non-stop in his neighborhood. Code Enforcement advised him that there are no laws prohibiting the equipment from operating on Sunday. MR. FARLOW suggested that the Sunday laws be re-enacted if they had been removed from the books. There should be one day in the week on which this equipment cannot be heard.

(4:11 – 4:14)

**6-120**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

JOHN LAMB, Summerlin resident, advised the Council that his son had been arrested due to a drinking problem and was assigned to a community program. However, his son was unable to pay the associated program fees. As a result, he turned himself in to law enforcement and appeared before a judge. The judge understood the situation, placed his son in a program, allowed him to pay as he could, and instructed him to re-appear before him in six months. His son's court appearance was scheduled for yesterday; however, due to his grandmother's serious illness he was late. In fact, his grandmother passed away last night. His son explained the situation to courthouse personnel but was told that a \$7,600 bond would be required before a new court date could be set. Neither he nor his son has the money to post the bond. MR. LAMB noted that he went to the court to help his son and was told there was nothing that could be done. He then went to MAYOR GOODMAN'S office and was advised he could attend today's meeting and present his situation to Council. MR. LAMB feels that an alcohol problem is a sickness rather than a crime and should be dealt with accordingly.

MAYOR GOODMAN advised that the Council could not take action. However, he asked the Marshal to make an appointment with the judge for MR. LAMB so that his circumstances could be heard.

(4:14 – 4:17)

**6-195**

AL BROWN, 7417 Sunspot Drive, was troubled by a couple of comments he heard, especially relating to at risk youth living in West Las Vegas and the crime rate in the area. He explained that he represents 30 youths who are members of the NAACP Little Angels. These young people, who live in high-risk areas, are planning a back to school swim party on August 15. Unfortunately, their parents, many of whom are single parents, have limited income and are unable to pay the \$100 fee for use of the Doolittle Community Center pool. He previously presented this problem to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY'S aide and requested a waiver of the \$100 fee. But, he was told the fee could not be waived. He suggested that COUNCILMAN WEEKLY donate \$100 toward this event or possibly each Council member could donate \$20 so the kids could hold their back to school party.

MONIQUE MATTHEWS, 8<sup>th</sup> Grade, Brinley Middle School, stated the Little Angels would truly appreciate it if the Council could donate \$100 for use of the Doolittle pool or if the fee can be waived so that they can hold their swim party on August 15.

DARLIA DORSEY, 15 years old, Brinley Middle School, requested that the Council donate \$100 or waive the fee for use of the Doolittle pool for the swim party. She indicated that the kids just wanted to have fun.

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that this was one of the most embarrassing scenarios he had ever witnessed. He asked MR. BROWN how he dared bring children to the Council meeting to solicit money. He indicated, however, to MISS MATTHEWS and MISS DORSEY that he respected what they were trying to do. He commented that the NAACP is a very well equipped organization. He has worked with REVEREND SPENCER BARRETT, acting president of the NAACP, and knows that MR. BARRETT would not condone these actions today. He felt certain the Little Angels had not gone before their own membership to ask for this donation. The swim party is a great idea and a great activity. He actually has a back to school event scheduled on August 16 at Doolittle Center, and this group could be a part of that activity.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY explained he does not direct staff to waive any fees. His office and the City receive requests every day to waive park fees and pool fees. It is just not done for the simple reason that City personnel are accountable to the City Manager and City Council for their fiscal activity. Had MR. BROWN submitted an official request to him, he might have honored it because he has honored many requests. He remarked that MR. BROWN needs to understand what he does and that at times it is at personal expense. He received an award this morning from the Review-Journal for a Buzzer Beater, he recently paid \$5,000 for Big Hearts Football Camp that brought seven NFL players to Las Vegas, and he spent \$5,000 for a track club for kids in West Las Vegas. He is troubled that the children of West Las Vegas continue to live under the perception that their area has a high crime rate and they must ask for everything for free. Rather than embarrassing these children by bringing them before the City Council to ask for money, MR. BROWN should be going into the community to find the money to sponsor events for his youth group.

(4:17 – 4:25)

**6-302**

HENRY THORNS, 1100 Bull Run Avenue, North Las Vegas, commented that these are the types of arguments that occur when a community is poor with high unemployment. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY does his best, but he cannot do everything alone. MR. THORNS indicated he addressed the Council two weeks ago regarding gang violence and the lack of assistance with this problem. He tried to warn the Council so that the situation did not worsen. He feels it will get worse because there is no funding for the poor communities. The Council sponsors great events that reach many children; however, they do not reach all of the children.

(4:25 – 4:26)

**6-562**

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

CRAIG SOLIS, 201 North Decatur, explained that his major concern relates to the RTC. He has a friend who works at the RTC who told him that the buses are in disrepair and break down quite frequently. In fact, over 153 buses were out of commission at one point in time. Nobody wants to wait outside for two to four hours for a bus in the 117-degree heat. On May 27, he had a heat stroke while waiting over an hour for the 106 going northbound. This is uncalled for. He was in the hospital for approximately three days and is currently on medication due to his heart and the heat. He has observed handicapped individuals waiting for buses in the heat. Something needs to be done to provide better bus service. He does not want to hear that there is a lack of funds or financing. The City Council members are the spokespersons for the citizens and should instruct the RTC to do something about the buses. The law mandates that no one should wait for a bus for more than 15 to 20 minutes in the heat. He urged everyone to ride the buses for one month, with no special privileges whatsoever, to experience the problems for themselves.

MR. SOLIS requested that the City Council take a special vote for the provision of improved, safer, and more reliable bus service for the people of Las Vegas. MAYOR GOODMAN indicated the Council could not take action.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated, as a representative of the RTC, that MR. SOLIS was welcome to contact his office and schedule a meeting to discuss his concerns. There was some misinformation at the last RTC meeting that indicated there were over 100 buses out of commission. In actuality, there were only about three. MR. SOLIS advised he had contacted COUNCILMAN MACK'S office by e-mail but nothing was done. COUNCILMAN MACK instructed MR. SOLIS to come to his office and they would have a personal meeting.

(4:26 – 4:30)

**6-600**

MAYOR GOODMAN announced that the warrant for MR. LAMB'S son had been quashed and he now has a new court date. MR. LAMB thanked the Mayor.

DAN CONTRERAS, Bonanza Village, thanked the City street crews for their quick response in addressing all of his concerns with the wall. He advised that the wall was hit on Monday, and the crews are currently repairing the damage. He thanked the City Council. He also thanked the Council for providing him with the telephone number of STEVE MORELAND regarding the vacant building in the Enterprise Park. He contacted MR. MORELAND and, although the first conversation did not go smoothly, electricians were sent out and the parking lot lights are now working. This is what government is about. If he presents a problem, the Council does not have to provide the answer but can point him in the right direction. Things can get done if they work together. As of August 1, the vacant building will be put up for sale or lease. The only stipulation the neighborhood has is that a positive project will be placed there.



# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. CONTRERAS expressed the hope that plans were moving forward to erect protective structures at the bus stops on Washington so that bus passengers are protected from inclement weather.

MR. CONTRERAS submitted a copy of the letter he directed to the Planning Commission that outlined his position on the improvement plan for West Las Vegas. He pointed out that the residents of Bonanza Village needed to band together and become more proactive to effect changes in their community. He indicated he had a good conversation with COMMISSIONER MCSWAIN and asked the Council to be patient with him while he learned the system. He welcomed COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF to the Council.

(4:30 – 4:33)

**6-731**

GENE COLLINS, 1101 Eleanor Avenue, pointed out to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY that he did not believe everything in West Las Vegas was bad. He grew up there and still lives in the area. Improvements are being made in the community. He noted that businesses are coming to West Las Vegas because people such as him are working very diligently to change the face of the community so that a business need not worry about getting a return on its dollar. He still lives in the old neighborhood, however, and has a concern regarding the unkempt lawns. Something needs to be done about them.

MR. COLLINS advised that a problem existed last year regarding a lack of activities for the young people in Bonnevista Springs. As a result, he appeared at their community meeting and donated \$100 for the children. A North Las Vegas police officer also donated \$100. The intent of the donations was to enable the children to hold car washes and barbecues on the weekends. There are children in the community who have never been to the Strip or had a vacation. He wanted to provide the children with the opportunity to be a part of the Boys and Girls Clubs, form drill teams and raise money for Pop Warner Football. However, their intentions were misinterpreted and a grant proposal was devised. That is not what he had in mind. A community should not wait for someone to do for them what they can do for themselves. If things are going to change, it is incumbent upon the residents of a community to make those changes.

MR. COLLINS commented that in years past West Las Vegas had the lowest crime rate of any community. He believes that is the case today. The reason he remains in the community is to give it a positive aspect and to address important issues such as crime.

(4:33 – 4:37)

**6-829**

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, indicated she heard MS. BROWN'S comments regarding the Housing Authority. She stated she has been addressing the same issues for a couple of months, especially the security issue. However, she has been labeled a troublemaker

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

and told she must step down from the Housing Authority Board because she was an embarrassment. Today, however, is an embarrassment. A young man will be laid to rest Friday morning at 11:00 a.m. Three months ago, if the Board had done what they should have with regard to the security issue this tragedy might have been prevented. Security personnel are beating children and the elderly. However, the Mayor intervened and asked the Housing Authority commissioners to step down. She refused to step down, and she stands here today to state she will do whatever it takes to ensure that the needs of the people are met.

MAYOR GOODMAN stated for the record that HUD would conduct a training session with the Housing Authority Board of Directors on July 22 from 9:00 a.m. to Noon in the City Council Chambers. He indicated he looked forward to receiving a report from HUD on that training session.

(4:37 – 4:39)

**6-974**

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, mentioned that he was driving around the back streets of the downtown area a couple of days ago and noticed Marshal cars parked underneath the freeway at the old Human Resources location. He felt there needed to be security for the parking lot as well as a fence around the property to prevent vandalism and keep the cars safe.

(4:39 – 4:40)

**6-1050**

PATRICIA BROWN, 507 Prescott, commented that she knows COUNCILMAN WEEKLY is very concerned about the community and the children. She is aware there are not enough activities and programs for those children. And, when she sees a group of children who show the initiative to attend a Council meeting and ask for assistance, she knows he is not the type of councilman who would turn them back out into the streets to seek other alternatives if there were something he could do. She would like COUNCILMAN WEEKLY to at least meet with MR. BROWN and the Little Angels. There may be some way he can work with them to either include them in the event in which he is involved or sponsor a separate event for them. Children should be encouraged in their positive efforts.

(4:40 – 4:41)

**6-1083**

STEPHEN “CAPTAIN TRUTH” DEMPSEY, quoted, “If you fail as public servants to live up to your oath of office, the blood of the innocents will be on your hands.” He advised that this quote was taken from a book by Michael Savage. MR. DEMPSEY was of the opinion that the First Amendment and freedom of speech no longer apply in this country. This is America and you never know when freedom might end. It ended for Michael Savage. He hoped MAYOR GOODMAN would conduct an investigation into this incident.

# *City of Las Vegas*

## CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003 Citizens Participation

### **MINUTES – Continued:**

MR. DEMPSEY congratulated COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF on her election to the Council. He indicated that a person is allowed to win one term in this community to see if he or she will play the game and be amenable to the envelopes and pay offs, as it looks to many people in Ward 1 as well as many of his constituents. He expressed the hope that COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF will be different.

MR. DEMPSEY pointed out that he spoke to all of the councilmen regarding the issue he was going to discuss. In fact, in a previous telephone conversation, he explained to COUNCILMAN MACK the importance of every conscientious public servant in an elected position doing something about the continuing bloodshed. He indicated he had also discussed his thoughts on potential individual liability, and COUNCILMAN MACK might want to brief the other Council members. He noted he received a very quick response to his phone call to COUNCILMAN WEEKLY. This impressed him, and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY is to be commended.

MR. DEMPSEY remarked that Buffalo Jim is the individual who knows the reason for the continuing bloodshed in COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF'S Ward. He submitted Buffalo Jim's business card to the Council members and the City Clerk. He indicated he has given Buffalo Jim's telephone number to the City Council a couple of times, but nothing has been done. So, he decided to hand out business cards this time. The problem is with the Crazy Horse Too business establishment. This business has generated 737 police calls over a three-year period compared to a similar business that had no police calls. He felt that any conscientious councilwoman would at least ask questions and place the issue on the Council agenda for a show cause hearing. He previously spoke to COUNCILMAN McDONALD about this business, to no avail. He has heard allegations that the Mayor may have represented the people involved in this business and, therefore, is unable to address the issue. He has spoken to many people, including Tracy in COUNCILWOMAN MONCRIEF'S office; he provided Tracy with a lot of information regarding this matter. The Crazy Horse Too is creating the wrong image for this great city. An investigation should be conducted and action taken.

(4:41 – 4:45)

**6-1140**

In response to MR FARLOW'S complaint regarding heavy equipment noise on Sunday, COUNCILMAN REESE stated he believed the new school site at Ogden and Bruce was the source of the noise. He was aware of the construction, and he appreciated MR. FARLOW'S concern. The School District fell behind in its construction schedule and needed to catch up. Sometimes there are extenuating circumstances. He hopes that, once the school opens, the area will improve and become safer for everyone.

# *City of Las Vegas*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 16, 2003  
Citizens Participation

## **MINUTES – Continued:**

In response to MS. BROWN'S concerns, COUNCILMAN WEEKLY asked the Little Angels youth group to understand that he will always do whatever he can to help out young people in the community. However, absolutely nothing in life is free. He will be more than happy to talk to the Little Angels after the meeting to see if there is anything he can do for them.

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that America has a great system wherein elected officials listen to their constituents. All he asks is that people are polite and respect each other as human beings.

**MEETING ADJOURNED AT 4:47 P.M.**